### Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464
<a href="mailto:ikifor@gmail.com">ikifor@gmail.com</a>
I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
<a href="https://femfas.net">https://femfas.net</a>



July 24, 2023

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) JFK Federal Building 15 New Sudbury Street, Room 475 Boston, MA 02203-0506

Dear EEOC,

I have completed my online inquiry, however, the EEOC Portal for the Boston office informed me that "There are currently no appointments available for this office. Please check back daily to schedule an appointment." I intend to file a charge as per my submitted summary, which read:

"My complaint of systemic and sustained "conspiracy to silence and enslave" is against the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Governor Healey, and Attorney General Campbell. I have extensively litigated the matters (see SJC-13427, USCA1-23-1008, and U.S. Supreme Court 22-7115).

Due to the now substantiated systemic and sustained violations of my civil rights by a ruthlessly conspiring state, it has become impossible for me to secure ANY employment (the number of submitted compliant job applications that I have submitted is 1,600+ so far), housing, health care, and mere survival.

The AGO filed a motion in the U.S. Court of Appeals, First Circuit, on 2/10/2023 to silence my meticulously filed RICO complaint, while also actively retaliating against me.

I have my extensive evidence prepared (also see <a href="https://femfas.net">https://femfas.net</a>) and I intend to file a new class action complaint for violations of my civil rights by the state (Title VI, Title VII, 42 U.S.C §§ 1981, 1983, 1985) with the U.S. District Court."

Thank you.

Respectfully,

/s/ Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, via katherine.dirks@mass.gov

Case: 23-1008 Document: 00118065771 Page: 431 Date Filed: 10/21/2023 Entry ID: 6599139

#### Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464
<a href="mailto:ikifor@gmail.com">ikifor@gmail.com</a>
I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
<a href="https://femfas.net">https://femfas.net</a>

September 13, 2023

Secretary Xavier Becerra
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20201
(via OCRMail@hhs.gov)

Secretary Kate Walsh
Massachusetts Health and Human Services
1 Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108
(via katherine.dirks@state.ma.us)

## An "LGBTQ+" Massachusetts Ruthlessly Profiteers From Child-Abusive "Pseudo-Science"

Dear Secretary Xavier Becerra, Dear Secretary Kate Walsh,

This complaint has nothing to do with actual LGBTQ+ (or other) minorities. Growing up as a "hated" minority in a tyranny, I experienced firsthand the suffering all minorities face in any society. And my thought processes acquired a genuine empathy that precludes grouping people based on superficialities.

The Health and Human Services agencies' websites publicly instruct: "If you believe that you have been discriminated against because of your race, color, national origin, disability, age, sex, or religion in programs or activities that HHS directly operates or to which HHS provides federal financial assistance, you may file a complaint with OCR. You may file a complaint for yourself or for someone else." I have now offered the attached evidence and proof of committed discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, and age. My prior complaints to government agencies also document this arduous process.

Specifically, the coordinated and intense retaliation by Massachusetts for my meticulously documented early 2018 email is overwhelming and unsurvivable: "Dr. Olezeski, Is your 'Pediatric Gender Program,' in fact, in plain English, **castrating young American boys**? It is well known that the Nazis, as part of their 'emerging eugenics movement,' started with castrating the hated 'inferior' minorities (for clarity, I grew up as a deeply hated minority in a ruthless dictatorship). They moved onto gassing them in masses only after the population and 'scientific community' did not complain nor 'resist' them in any way."

As a whistleblower, I complained about a Family Court's decision to profiteer from deeply child-abusive "pseudo-science" psychology while applying a much too familiar (to me) activist-dictated "equity-based justice" to my cases. I documented that a notorious 400 times GAL Harvard psychologist was brought in to fabricate factually false and infantile QAnon-style narratives to support a forcefully projected agenda,

namely: "[daughter] is afraid the Father will 'put suction cups on her feet and take her out the window,' and [son] is afraid the Father would 'put him in boiling water' if he went back in the Father's care."

The GAL based her incomplete, faulty, and biased investigation on a "psychology test" provocatively administered by a postdoc with an experience of "probably 10" completed evaluations without a license. The Family Court endorsed the profiteering despite the 900+ errors substantiated in the report. Later, the GALs went on to lead the American Psychological Association and "Pediatric Gender Program" at Yale.

Growing up on Marxist indoctrination, I became well-versed in the signature governmental convenience called "equity." Many attempts to repurpose that concept endure: "A common misconception exists that equity and equality refer to the same thing. Equity is the proportional representation (by race, gender, class, etc.) with all opportunities. Equality is ensuring everyone is treated the same and giving everyone access to the same opportunities, rights, and resources in whatever endeavor is being pursued."<sup>1</sup>

A defining feature of Marxism is that the state is **selectively** tasked to "specially protect from others" instead of a universal "equally protect rights." The explicit focus solely on the accepted groups led to a "Russell's Paradox"-like phenomenon: Do those excluded from **all** enumerated "specially protect from others" groups have any remaining rights worth protecting? To solve the paradox and the consequential "we can infer anything from a contradiction," the Marxist equity-based justice ordered the introduction of the **Gulag archipelago** to effectively deal with the unprotected masses having no equity left at all.

Despite recent "progressive" concept-laundering attempts, all "specially protect from others" groupings continue to be ambiguous and inconsistent *ad-hoc* artifacts based on "fluid" identities. Unsurprisingly, in Marxism (and Communism), **ambiguity and inconsistency were essential**: "It's on purpose! The laws are unclear for a reason. Because everybody is a criminal. So anybody can be arrested at any moment ... They've always violated something because the laws are badly written, and they seem to be written that way on purpose," see The Gulag: What We Know Now and Why It Matters<sup>2</sup> (at 1:19:11 to 1:21:10).

As the direct opposite of "specially protect from others," our U.S. Constitution is the quintessential anticommunist manifesto in that it "equally protects rights," **universally, for all individuals**, and not just the "alphabet soup" of self-conflicting and ever-changing "specially protect from others" groupings.

As an example, the first "L" in "LGBTQ+" could plausibly stand for a "man in the body of a woman without any mutilation" grouping, subject to a still undefined "man" and "woman." Following the trend, "LGBTQ+" will soon entail all imaginable (although expressly distinguishable by necessity) groups. The "Russel Paradox" only applies to "naive sets," which are these enumerated groups exactly. This means that all those Americans who are excluded from the "alphabet soup" of groupings due to their lack of "fluidity" or having no equity at all cannot ever possibly be "specially protected from others."

I am one of those excluded representatives, i.e., belonging to the "men who cannot ever get pregnant, are forcefully separated from their dear children, and are stripped of any constitutional rights."

<sup>1</sup> https://www.nsta.org/science-teacher/science-teacher-julyaugust-2020/equity-all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=37C9hofR6gg

Specifically, for the last 10+ years, the Family Court deliberately engaged in the "special protection of the two dishonest mothers from me" by systemically denying my constitutional rights for free speech, due process, and equal protection and continually sabotaging all my rights to appeal. The Family Court even resorted to **sustained Rule 60 Fraud On The Court**, deceiving other state and federal courts, and issued **secretive "gatekeeper orders"** against me, only to protect the "feminist equity" by all means.

My "no equity whatsoever" group does exist, as the corrupt child predator GALs forcefully assigned to my cases assisted the Massachusetts Family Courts in more than 1,500 other cases. Even if I pick an "alphabet soup" letter for this group, e.g., "X," it is still **not enumerated** in any "LGBTQ+..." grouping, or specifically, in President Biden's 2/16/2023 "Executive Order on Further Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through The Federal Government." If the Presidential intent was to fully and **truly** include all Americans, our already shining U.S. Constitution would have sufficed.

There is a lot of provocative chatter on the current national pre-election scene, like "Anti-racism is racism." None of these offer an actual proof. Using the venerable Russel Paradox, "the most famous of the logical or set-theoretical paradoxes," I can prove that President Biden's above anti-discriminatory order is not just discriminatory but also deliberately deceiving, inconsistent, and purposely ambiguating.

The order instructs "Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights. Agencies shall comprehensively use their respective civil rights authorities and offices to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all." Yet, I am the concrete representative of the necessary "left-over group" (after all of the "specially protect from others" equity-based justice clauses have been applied). I have no equity left, whatsoever. The executive order still deceivingly claims "advance equity for all," which is outright paradoxical for my never enumerated, i.e., entirely complementary, left-over group as by definition the group is the "rest," i.e., all those Americans for whom no "specially protect from others" ever applies.

Why is it ambiguous? "Fluidity" is, by its nature, the definition of ambiguity. One day, an American can be in one equity group, and the next day, in another. Can I suddenly become a "specially protected from others" individual? All state and federal anti-discriminatory statutes apply to me on my "national origin" basis, as I arrived in the U.S. only in 1986. With **secretive "gatekeeper orders"** (that provenly cannot be appealed), I am barred from even making a complaint in the state courts regarding that "equity."

The HHS agencies' websites also promise: "The top five priorities at HHS are: ending the pandemic, reducing health care costs, expanding access to care, tackling health disparities, and strengthening behavioral health." Yet, even the most basic health insurance that others get for \$0 is denied from me.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor³, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **second** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

Case: 23-1008 Document: 00118065771 Page: 434 Date Filed: 10/21/2023 Entry ID: 6599139

Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464
<a href="mailto:ikifor@gmail.com">ikifor@gmail.com</a>
I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
<a href="https://femfas.net">https://femfas.net</a>

September 17, 2023

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)
JFK Federal Building
15 New Sudbury Street, Room 475
Boston, MA 02203-0506
(via ROBERT.CREE@EEOC.GOV and EEOC Public Portal)

# EEOC Notice Of Appeal Pursuant To Trivially Unconstitutional Presidential Order Deliberately Creating A Marxist "American Gulag"

Charge Number: 523-2023-03019

Dear EEOC,

In my 7/24/2023 employment discrimination complaint to EEOC, I wrote, "Due to now substantiated systemic and sustained violations of my civil rights by a ruthlessly conspiring [State of Massachusetts], it has become impossible for me to secure ANY employment (the number of submitted compliant job applications that I have submitted is [800+ in 2019 and 880+ since late 2022=1,680+] so far)."

Moreover, on 8/6, 8/8, 8/17, and 9/4/2023, I submitted extensive evidence to the EEOC Public Portal further substantiating that the "LGBTQ+" State of Massachusetts (please see my attached latest open letter to Secretary Xavier Becerra and the Office of Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, for the relevance of the accentuated "LGBTQ+" label) deliberately forced me into systemic and sustained "unfree labor" (i.e., "any work relation, especially in modern or early modern history, in which people are employed against their will with the threat of destitution, detention, violence including death or other forms of extreme hardship to either themselves or members of their families").

I have repeatedly emphasized that I had not been a "direct" government employee at any time. However, through the **autocratic and absolute control** of all aspects of my uninterrupted open source (meaning "free," without any compensation whatsoever) software development efforts, the State of Massachusetts deliberately acted as my "joint" employer, passing stringent tests established by federal legal precedent.

I am a trained (with a Master's Degree in computer science from Boston University) and a skilled (I sold a one-developer software startup for \$25M with no assets other than software written solely by me as its exclusive intellectual property) professional who was a) ordered to impossibly seek "minimum wage

jobs" with even his driver's license suspended, and then b) his "authenticity" and "desire" [to work] was officially questioned despite all the meticulous daily records supporting his full-time professional work.

Had I not been manifestly working every day, according to my 30+ years of expertise as a professional, I would have been sent back to jail. Had any employer been deceived into employing me, all my income would have been immediately garnished to pay for the deliberately allowed to accumulate (and now an impossible \$345,000+) in-arrears child support obligations. The State's objective to silence and enslave me as retaliation for my whistleblower efforts about deeply child-predatory practices has crystallized.

EEOC justified the dismissal of my complaint on 9/6/2023 with, "In determining the facts of your case base based on the evidence obtained by the EEOC, there is insufficient evidence to show that you are a 'covered individual' and that you have an 'employer-employee relationship' with the Respondent."

Pursuant to President Biden's 2/16/2023 Executive Order on Further Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through The Federal Government, I respectfully appeal the EEOC's above decision. The executive order instructs, "Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights. Agencies shall comprehensively use their respective civil rights authorities and offices to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all." I can now prove to the U.S. Supreme Court that a self-referencing (or recursive) "equity for all" leads to a famous and fundamental paradox inherent in all the Marxist (and Communist) "specially protect from others" divisive social engineering ideologies.

To solve <u>"Russell's Paradox"</u> (carelessly introduced by The White House by allowing the enumeration of the purposely non-inclusive LGBTQ+ alphabet soup of "specially protect from others" groups without ever mentioning the always inherently present "leftovers"), the "equity for all" must be corrected to a mere "equity for some" in direct contradiction with our Constitution. As "we can infer anything from a contradiction," the Presidential Contradiction must be solved less our entire "rule of law" is invalidated.

Until then, federal agencies must consider the inherent consequences of any "progressive" Marxist (and Communist) "equity-based" (but merely zero-sum) justice, especially the fact that the naive enumeration of all "protected classes" leads to the implied creation of a new "American Gulag" for all the "leftover" Americans that cannot ever be "specially protected from others" and are therefore eternally silenced and enslaved. I am a proper representative "forced employee" of such federal American Gulag "employer," as I work every day under the direct threat of detention without any compensation or simple protection.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor<sup>1</sup>, Pro Se

Cc: <u>Judiciary Whistleblower@mail.house.gov</u>

Civil Rights Center, U.S. Department of Labor, via <u>CivilRightsCenter@dol.gov</u> <u>CRCExternalComplaints@dol.gov</u>

Laura A Sacks, National Labor Relations Board, Boston, via <u>relay.service@nlrb.gov</u> Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u> <u>USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **second** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

### Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464
<a href="mailto:ikifor@gmail.com">ikifor@gmail.com</a>
I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
<a href="https://femfas.net">https://femfas.net</a>

September 20, 2023

National Labor Relations Board, Region 1 Thomas P. O'Neill Jr. Federal Building 10 Causeway Street, Suite 1002 (Floor 10) Boston, MA 02222 (via Rachel.Sandalow-Ash@nlrb.gov and NLRB Public Portal)

## Substantiated NLRB Charge Pursuant To Trivially Unconstitutional Presidential Order Deliberately Creating A Marxist (and Communist) "American Gulag"

Dear NLRB,

On 9/16 and 17/2023, I e-filed my substantiating documents with the NLRB Public Portal. In them, I have repeatedly emphasized that I had not been a "direct" government employee at any time. However, through the **deliberate and absolute control** of all aspects of my uninterrupted "open source" (meaning public and without any compensation) software development efforts since 2018, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts acted as my "joint employer," passing all stringent tests established by legal precedent.

I am a trained (with a Master's Degree in computer science from Boston University) and a skilled (I sold a one-developer software startup for \$25M with no assets other than software written solely by me as its exclusive intellectual property) professional who was a) ordered to impossibly seek "minimum wage jobs" with even his driver's license suspended, and then b) his "authenticity" and "desire" [to work] was officially questioned despite all the meticulous daily records supporting his full-time professional work.

Had I not been manifestly working every day, according to my 30+ years of expertise as a professional, I would have been sent back to jail. Had any employer been deceived into employing me, all my income would have been immediately garnished to pay for the deliberately allowed to accumulate (and now an impossible \$345,000+) in-arrears child support obligations. The Commonwealth's drive to silence and enslave me as retaliation for my whistleblower efforts about child-predatory practices has crystallized.

The U.S. Department of Labor clearly states that the deliberate "separate but equal" treatment (based on race) has ended: "The Civil Rights Act of 1964 is the nation's benchmark civil rights legislation, and it continues to resonate in America. Passage of the Act ended the application of 'Jim Crow' laws, which had been upheld by the Supreme Court in the 1896 case <u>Plessy v. Ferguson</u>, in which the Court held that racial segregation purported to be 'separate but equal' was constitutional. The Civil Rights Act was eventually expanded by Congress to strengthen the enforcement of these fundamental civil rights."

Pursuant to President Biden's 2/16/2023 Executive Order on Further Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through The Federal Government, I assert that the "Jim Crow" laws have been resurrected. The executive order instructs, "Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights. Agencies shall comprehensively use their respective civil rights authorities and offices to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all." I can now prove to the U.S. Supreme Court that a self-referencing (or recursive) "equity for all" leads to a famous and fundamental paradox inherent in all the Marxist (and Communist) "specially protect from others" divisive social engineering ideologies.

To solve <u>"Russell's Paradox"</u> (carelessly introduced by The White House by allowing the enumeration of the purposely non-inclusive LGBTQ+ alphabet soup of "specially protect from others" groups without ever mentioning the always inherently present "leftovers"), the "equity for all" must be corrected to a mere "equity for some" in direct contradiction with our Constitution. As "we can infer anything from a contradiction," the Presidential Contradiction must be solved less our entire "rule of law" is invalidated.

Until then, federal agencies must consider the inherent consequences of any "progressive" Marxist (and Communist) "equity-based" (but merely zero-sum) justice, especially the fact that the naive enumeration of all "protected classes" leads to the implied creation of a new "American Gulag" for all the "leftover" Americans that cannot ever be "specially protected from others" and are therefore eternally silenced and enslaved. I am a proper representative "forced employee" of any such American Gulag "employer," as I work every day under the direct threat of detention without any compensation or protection whatsoever.

As per the attached 9/19/2023 decision by the Office of Federal Operations (OFO), the consequence of President Biden's above-cited executive order, e.g., directly mandating a new "Jim Crow laws"-like segregation of Americans into "double protected with equity" and "unprotected with no equity at all" disjoint camps, or the above "American Gulag," can only be categorized as a "private sector employer."

Therefore, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 158 - Unfair labor practices, specifically, "(a) Unfair labor practices by [joint-]employer (1)(2)(3)(5)" and "(b) Unfair labor practices by [an implied] labor organization (2) (3)," I am ready to file a charge with the NLRB against a purely "joint employer" Commonwealth of Massachusetts (and the also consequentially implied "American Gulag") alleging unfair labor practices.

I commit to amending my NLRB submissions with my 10/1/2023 draft "Class Action Complaint For Relief And Damages -- Violations of Title VI/VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d/e, et seq.), Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. § 621, et seq.), Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), deprivation of civil rights (42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, and 1985), and systemic/sustained Civil RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1962) prohibited activities" mailed to the DOJ and HHS.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor<sup>1</sup>, Pro Se

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **second** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

Case: 23-1008 Document: 00118065771 Page: 438 Date Filed: 10/21/2023 Entry ID: 6599139

Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464
<a href="mailto:ikifor@gmail.com">ikifor@gmail.com</a>
I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
<a href="https://femfas.net">https://femfas.net</a>

September 24, 2023

Julie A. Su Acting Secretary of Labor U.S. Department of Labor Office of the Secretary 200 Constitution Ave NW Washington, DC 20210 Larry D. Turner Inspector General U.S. Department of Labor Office of Inspector General 200 Constitution Avenue NW Washington, DC 20210

# Racketeer-Enforced Stereotypical "Feminist Equity"-Based Justice Contradicts Our U.S. Constitution And Subverts Our Anti-Discrimination Statutes

Dear Acting Secretary Julie A. Su, Dear Inspector General Larry D. Turner,

On its website, the U.S. Department of Labor states that "Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) laws prohibit specific types of job discrimination in certain workplaces. The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) has two agencies which deal with EEO monitoring and enforcement, the Civil Rights Center and the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs." I have already filed/emailed my complaints to both.

Therefore, I am renewing my complaints pursuant to "The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 prohibits discrimination on the basis of age in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. The Act, which applies to all ages, permits the use of certain age distinctions and factors other than age that meet the Act's requirements. The Age Discrimination Act is enforced by the Civil Rights Center."

I have also contacted the DOJ, EEOC, NLRB, and the Department of Health and Human Services. In my respectful submissions to all those federal agencies, I have specifically and repeatedly emphasized that I had not been a "direct" government employee at any time. However, through the **deliberate and absolute control** of all aspects of my uninterrupted "open source" (meaning public and "free," without any compensation whatsoever) forced software development efforts since 2018, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts acted as my "joint employer," passing the stringent tests established by legal precedent.

I am a trained (with a Master's Degree in computer science from Boston University) and a skilled (I sold a one-developer software startup for \$25M with no assets other than software written solely by me as its exclusive intellectual property) professional who was a) ordered to impossibly seek "minimum wage

jobs" with even his driver's license suspended, and then b) his "authenticity" and "desire [to work]" was officially questioned despite all the meticulous daily records supporting his full-time professional work.

Had I not been manifestly working every day, according to my 30+ years of expertise as a professional, I would have been sent back to jail. Had any employer been deceived into employing me, all my income would have been immediately garnished to pay for the deliberately allowed to accumulate (and now an impossible \$345,000+) in-arrears child support obligations. The Commonwealth's drive to silence and enslave as retaliation for my whistleblower efforts about child-predatory practices has now crystallized.

The U.S. Department of Labor clearly states that the deliberate "separate but equal" treatment (based on race) has ended: "The Civil Rights Act of 1964 is the nation's benchmark civil rights legislation, and it continues to resonate in America. Passage of the Act ended the application of 'Jim Crow' laws, which had been upheld by the Supreme Court in the 1896 case <u>Plessy v. Ferguson</u>, in which the Court held that racial segregation purported to be 'separate but equal' was constitutional. The Civil Rights Act was eventually expanded by Congress to strengthen the enforcement of these fundamental civil rights."

Pursuant to President Biden's 2/16/2023 Executive Order on Further Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through The Federal Government, I assert that the "Jim Crow" laws have been resurrected. The executive order instructs, "Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights. Agencies shall comprehensively use their respective civil rights authorities and offices to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all." I can now prove to the U.S. Supreme Court that a self-referencing (or recursive) "equity for all" leads to a famous and fundamental paradox inherent in all the Marxist (and Communist) "specially protect from others" divisive social engineering ideologies.

To solve <u>"Russell's Paradox"</u> (carelessly introduced by The White House by allowing the enumeration of the purposely non-inclusive LGBTQ+ alphabet soup of "specially protect from others" groups without ever mentioning the always inherently present "leftovers"), the "equity for all" must be corrected to a mere "equity for some" in direct contradiction with our Constitution. As "we can infer anything from a contradiction," the Presidential Contradiction must be solved lest our entire "rule of law" is invalidated.

Until then, federal agencies must consider the inherent consequences of any "progressive" Marxist (and Communist) "equity-based" (but merely zero-sum) justice, especially the fact that the naive enumeration of all "protected classes" leads to the implied creation of a new "American Gulag" for all the "leftover" Americans that cannot ever be "specially protected from others" and are therefore eternally silenced and enslaved. I am a proper representative "forced employee" of any such American Gulag "employer," as I work every day under the direct threat of detention without any compensation or protection whatsoever.

I am attaching the 9/19/2023 decision by the Office of Federal Operations (OFO) to my appeal of the prior EEOC dismissal. As the consequences of President Biden's above executive order (e.g., mandating new "Jim Crow"-like segregation of Americans into "double protected with equity" and "unprotected with no equity at all" disjoint camps), the implied "American Gulag of leftovers" can be categorized only as a "private sector employer." Alternatively, only a day later, I was informed that "The NLRB does not have jurisdiction over public-sector employers such as the Commonwealth of Massachusetts."

Case: 23-1008 Document: 00118065771 Page: 440 Date Filed: 10/21/2023 Entry ID: 6599139

Just like the Soviet Gulag archipelago or the notorious Nazi "Arbeit Macht Frei" Auschwitz, "unfree labor camps" have never been recognized government entities, yet tens of millions passed through them.

Characteristically, when I desperately e-filed my perhaps naive and layman's Title VI discrimination complaint with the Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, I was instantly dismissed with the "Commonwealth of Massachusetts is not operated by HHS, and does not receive funds from HHS." I immediately attempted to correct my "confusion" by filing an available "Federal Child Support Complaint" with the Office of Inspector General at the U.S. Dept. of HHS.

To substantiate my desperate whistleblower reports of "federal grant" fraud to the Inspectors General, I commit to amending my herein submissions with my 10/1/2023 draft "Class Action Complaint For Relief And Damages -- Violations of Title VI/VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d/e, et seq.), Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. § 621, et seq.), Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), deprivation of civil rights (42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, and 1985), and systemic/sustained Civil RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1962) prohibited activities" mailed to the DOJ and HHS.

I also intend to file my (second) Civil RICO Class Action Complaint with the U.S. District Court in Boston, Mass., simultaneously with my (also second) *Pro Se* Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court on 11/1/2023. I now have the evidence to fully support my allegations for sustained and systemic concealment of Rule 60 Fraud On The Court only to extort significant federal reimbursements.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor<sup>1</sup>, Pro Se

### Enclosure

Cc: Senator Elizabeth Warren (via <u>Elizabeth\_Warren@warren.senate.gov</u>)
Civil Rights Center, Department of Labor (via <u>CivilRightsCenter@dol.gov</u>)
Office for Civil Rights, Department of Health and Human Services (via <u>OCRMail@hhs.gov</u>)
Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>)

<u>CRCExternalComplaints@dol.gov</u>
<u>USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **second** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

#### Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464
<a href="mailto:ikifor@gmail.com">ikifor@gmail.com</a>
I have no phone
I have no valid driver's license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
<a href="https://femfas.net">https://femfas.net</a>

October 1, 2023

Merrick B. Garland, U.S. Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20530-0001 Andrea Joy Campbell, Mass. Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1 Ashburton Place, 18th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Xavier Becerra, Secretary U.S. Department of HHS 200 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20201

Dear Attorney General Merrick B. Garland, Dear Attorney General Andrea Joy Campbell, Dear Secretary Xavier Becerra,

Pursuant to the below listed Federal statutes, I am respectfully giving notice by this herein registered mail that I intend to commence the attached action, titled:

Class Action Complaint For Relief And Damages -- Violations of Title VI/VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d/e, *et seq.*), Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. § 621, *et seq.*), Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), deprivation of civil rights (42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, and 1985), and systemic/sustained Civil RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1962) prohibited activities,

in the U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts, on or about November 1, 2023.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>)
Michael G. Xavier, Esq. (for Ms. ), via <u>mxavier@princelobel.com</u>

USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov