

Imre Kifor

██████████
Newton, MA 02464

ikifor@gmail.com

I have no phone

I have no valid driver's license

I have to move to a homeless shelter

<https://femfas.net>

October 13, 2023

President Joseph Biden
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20500

A Marxist (and Communist) "Equity-Based" Justice Directly And Fundamentally Subverts Our U.S. Constitution - A Meticulous Legal Proof

Dear President Biden,

I am writing about the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order, specifically its "Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance **equity for all**" clause.

As a legal immigrant, I had to take the Naturalization Test to become my current and proud U.S. citizen self. I spent earnest effort studying for it and believe I understood [that](#) *"the 14th Amendment addresses many aspects of citizenship and the rights of citizens. The most commonly used phrase in the amendment is 'equal protection of the laws,' which figures prominently in a wide variety of landmark cases ..."*

In my now well-documented existential struggle against the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, I wrote to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Labor that *"I can now prove to the U.S. Supreme Court that a self-referencing (or recursive) 'equity for all' leads to a famous and fundamental paradox inherent in all the Marxist (and Communist) 'specially protect from others' divisive social engineering ideologies."*

To substantiate my claims, I point to the most prominent voices for **"feminist equity"** of our times, to our former Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton. In a sign of the Secretary's most recent apparent civic incompetence, CNN reports that [Clinton calls for "formal deprogramming"](#) of masses of Americans.

Please note that I am a fiercely independent and apolitical patriot. I never judge based on any political affiliations. That is why Clinton's prior blatant incompetence, e.g., ["The Government Has No Business' in abortion decisions. We are not Romania,"](#) is so damaging (and also outright discriminatory) to me.

As an actual Secretary of State, she bragged: *"I've been to countries where governments either force women to have abortions like they used to do in China or forced women to bear children like they used*

to do in Romania,” while deceitfully reframing the simple political realities of a former [“Most Favored Nation”](#) for an incoherent (and forcefully deprogramming) political agenda based on “feminist equity.”

My well-preserved legal struggle reflects that I was the ideal candidate for activist “reprogramming.” The otherwise **deeply child-predatory** (for obscene profits) activist agenda was captured during trials in a Massachusetts Family Court: *“But don’t you agree that he needs to accept his role in what happened to the children to understand how to change his behavior? [Activist feminist Harvard] GAL: Yes, I do.”*

The identically same agenda-driven but **fake “Marxist empathy”** is being tragically played out on the international scene; see [“\[Sen.\] Sanders calls Israel’s siege on Gaza ‘a serious violation of international law.’”](#) The White House promptly reacted, *“On Tuesday, Biden doubled down on that message, railing against the ‘sheer evil,’”* understanding that the Marxist objective is to create massive moral confusion.

In the context of the above Presidential Order, my meticulously documented legal matters highlight the intractable problems inherent in any Marxist “equity-based” justice: the need for arbitrarily prioritizing the “equities.” In other words, does “feminist equity” (i.e., **“women never lie”**) trump one’s “personal experiences” ultimate equity (e.g., “[Justice Thomas:] individuals are not the sum of their skin color”)?

In the case of an “LGBTQ+” (but in actuality only driven to “maximize federal reimbursements” using innocent children) Massachusetts, our Constitutional civil rights and explicit federal antidiscrimination statutes always come secondary to any inherently contradictory and truly incoherent “feminist equities.”

To solve [Russell's Paradox](#) (carelessly introduced by The White House by allowing the enumeration of the purposely non-inclusive LGBTQ+ alphabet soup of “specially protect from others” groups without ever mentioning the always inherently present “leftovers”), the above deliberately deceitful “equity for all” must be corrected to a mere **“equity for some,”** in direct contradiction with our U.S. Constitution.

Also, any such “Presidential Contradiction” must be solved lest our entire “rule of law” is invalidated as [“we can infer anything from a contradiction.”](#) Until then, the federal agencies must consider the inherent consequences of any “progressive” Marxist (and Communist) “equity-based” (but merely zero-sum, for forceful redistribution of wealth) justice, especially the fact that the naive enumeration of all “protected classes” leads to the implied creation of a new **“American Gulag”** for all the “leftover” Americans that cannot ever be “specially protected from others” and are therefore eternally silenced and also enslaved.

I am a proper representative “forced employee” of any such American Gulag “joint employer,” as I work every day under the direct threat of detention without any compensation (or any protection) whatsoever.

As the consequences of the above Presidential Executive Order (effectively equivalent to mandating new **“Jim Crow”-like segregation of Americans** into “double protected with equity” and “unprotected with no equity at all” disjoint camps), the directly implied “American Gulag of leftovers” can be categorized only as a Clintonian-base for “forced deprogramming” of masses of Americans. Or something described just as well by the CCP’s [“vocational education and training centers \[the Xinjiang internment camps\].”](#)

To summarize my legal controversy, see the attached Civil RICO Class Action Complaint and an outline of my second *pro se* Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court, the main points are:

- Robin Deutsch, Ph.D., Harvard Medical School: *“If Mr. Kifor is to resume visitation..., it is suggested that the contact begin with supervised visits for one to two hours at a time, indefinitely, in a designated visitation center. Supervised visits are suggested due to the history of punitive behaviors and testing and interview data that suggest Mr. Kifor will not be amenable to mental health treatment.”*
- Robin Deutsch, Family Court trial testimony (after **973 errors** were substantiated in her GAL report): *“Q. Is it fair to say when you said Dr. Olezeski did that testing that you were not physically present in the room when it was performed? A. Correct. Q. Can you tell me what level of experience Dr. Olezeski had in performing those battery of tests? ... What was her level of experience in performing those three tests at that time? A. Well, this was her postdoctoral fellowship year, so she had done probably ... Q. With the program, how often would she have done it? A. This is a guess, **probably 10 times.**”*
- Prof. Harold J. Bursztajn, MD, Harvard Medical School: *“What follows is a report of my forensic neuropsychiatric examinations of Imre Kifor on April 30, May 14, May 25, June 4, and June 12, 2012, and my ongoing forensic neuropsychiatric evaluation of the discovery data forwarded to me to date with respect to Mr. Kifor’s mental condition in relation to his functioning as a parent... I serve as an Associate Clinical Professor of Psychiatry, Founder of the Program in Psychiatry and the Law, and Principal Mentor for students at Harvard Medical School (HMS). I have more than 25 years of service as senior clinical faculty at HMS coupled with more than 30 years of experience in clinical and forensic practice as a psychiatrist... It is my current forensic neuropsychiatric opinion, which I hold to a reasonable degree of forensic neuropsychiatric certainty, that **(1) Imre Kifor presents no danger to his children; (2) there is no indication of impairment of his fitness to parent.**”*
- Family Court: *“On December 5, 2013, the Court (Donnelly, J.) denied Father's request to submit additional evidence. The Court provided the following rationale: ‘I specifically find that the value of any evidence received from mental health treaters is outweighed by the prejudice which would be supposed by the [Mother] in light of the [Father’s] prior vigorous assertion of privilege and [the Mother’s] inability to conduct discovery regarding such witness(es).’”*
- Father: *“Mother’s in limine motion alleges that ‘Father is attempting to use his therapeutic treatment as both a shield and a sword by asserting privilege by his recent assertion of this claim in this action.’ This cannot be further from the truth as evidenced by Father’s timely emails.”*
- Father: *“As the two mothers are using an allegedly faulty, biased, and incomplete GAL investigation by Dr. Deutsch to forcefully isolate Father from his children while also seemingly forcefully medicating a child into submission, Father has no choice but to relinquish both his attorney/client and therapist/patient privileges in order to protect all of his children.”*

Respectfully,
/s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

Cc: Senator Elizabeth Warren (via Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov)
Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via katherine.dirks@mass.gov)
Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov
USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **second pro se** and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

List of referenced links:

1. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2023/02/16/executive-order-on-further-advancing-racial-equity-and-support-for-underserved-communities-through-the-federal-government/>

“Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights. Agencies shall comprehensively use their respective civil rights authorities and offices to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all, including to increase the effects of civil rights enforcement and to increase public awareness of civil rights principles, consistent with applicable law.”

2. <https://www.cnn.com/2023/06/29/politics/clarence-thomas-ketanji-brown-jackson-supreme-court-affirmative-action/index.html>

“Even in the segregated South where I grew up, individuals were not the sum of their skin color,” [Justice Clarence] Thomas wrote. “While I am painfully aware of the social and economic ravages which have befallen my race and all who suffer discrimination,” he added, “I hold out enduring hope that this country will live up to its principles so clearly enunciated in the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States: that all men are created equal, are equal citizens, and must be treated equally before the law.”

3. <https://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2023/10/06/hillary-clinton-maga-cult-extremists-donald-trump-house-republicans-amanpour-cnntm-vpx.cnn>
4. https://www.huffpost.com/entry/hillary-clinton-abortion-debate_n_5808457de4b0180a36e92518
5. <https://www.heritage.org/europe/report/why-romania-no-longer-deserves-be-most-favored-nation>
6. <https://www.politico.com/news/2023/10/11/israel-hamas-bernie-sanders-00120957>
7. <https://brilliant.org/wiki/russells-paradox/>
8. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principle_of_explosion

Harold J. Bursztajn, MD

96 Larchwood Drive
Cambridge, MA 02138
Telephone: 617-492-8366
Telefax: 617-441-3195
<http://www.forensic-psych.com>

Princeton University AB 1972
Harvard Medical School MD 1976

June 14, 2012

Erin J. Harris, Esq.
Law Offices of Erin J. Harris, LLC
268 Summer St., LL
Boston, MA 02210

Re: [REDACTED] v. Kifor
Trial Court of Massachusetts
Probate and Family Court Department
Suffolk Division
Docket No. 07D-3172-DV1

Dear Ms. Harris,

I. Identification:

What follows is a report of my forensic neuropsychiatric examinations of Imre Kifor (DOB: [REDACTED] 1962) on April 30, May 14, May 25, June 4, and June 12, 2012 and my ongoing forensic neuropsychiatric evaluation of the discovery data forwarded to me to date with respect to Mr. Kifor's mental condition in relation to his functioning as a parent. Mr. Kifor is in litigation with his former wife, [REDACTED], over the custody of their children, [REDACTED]. He is also involved in a custody dispute with [REDACTED], with whom he has two younger children. The primary foci of this evaluation are (1) whether Mr. Kifor presents a danger to his children; (2) his fitness to parent.

In addition, through repeated interviews with Mr. Kifor's parents, I have given consideration not only to the family and cultural context and personal history that underlie Mr. Kifor's current situation, but also to the implications and consequences of the near-total severing of the previously regular contact between grandparents and grandchildren, with a focus on the value the children can derive from maintaining the continuity of the Kifor extended-family unit.

II. Qualifications of Examiner:

I serve as an Associate Clinical Professor of Psychiatry, Founder of the Program in Psychiatry and the Law, and Principal Mentor for students at Harvard Medical School (HMS). I have more than 25 years of service as senior clinical faculty at HMS coupled with more than 30 years of experience in clinical and forensic practice as a psychiatrist. I am certified in the specialty of psychiatry by the

Thirty years of patient care, consultation and teaching

R.A.000189

ADD 000025

APPENDIX F - 000052