

Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464

ikifor@gmail.com

I have no phone

I have no valid driver's license

I have to move to a homeless shelter

<https://femfas.net>

November 22, 2023

Satya Nadella, CEO
Microsoft Corporation

One Microsoft Way

Redmond, WA 98052

via rapidresponse@we-worldwide.com

Sam Altman, CEO

OpenAI, Inc.

3180 18th St

San Francisco, CA 94110

via press@openai.com

Bri Treece, CEO

Dan Hendrycks, Director

Center For AI Safety

San Francisco, CA

via contact@safe.ai

**Per Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems, Hidden Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) Is The
"Hallucination Of A Tyrant"**

Dear AI-Tech CEOs,

My background is in computer science. I am a practitioner experienced with [transformer LLMs](#). I am also locked into a legal "war of attrition" with the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. I have filed citizen (*pro se*) Civil RICO class action complaints and appeals in various courts, including the U.S. Supreme Court. My bona fide existential struggles with "the State" allow me to substantiate my claims in the title.

I believe that a "tyrannical" AGI is not likely in the context of our American "rule of law" legal system, i.e., based on our U.S. Constitution. My objective with this open letter is to respectfully request [Amicus Curiae](#) support for my latest petitions docketed in the Supreme Court. Therefore, I also conclude that the Marxist subversion of our Constitution, which I have substantiated in court, will lead to a "Tyrant AGI."

My deductive steps are as follows. ChatGPT, through its exposed API, credibly promises customizable AGI functionality. Internally, any such AGI is a mere (albeit long) sequence of numbers. This sequence can be visible, i.e., "open source," or hidden. The former implies an anarchy, while the latter a hierarchy.

Anarchies cannot generate income. Tyrannies are hierarchies that are severely conditioned on finances. Therefore, only a hidden or "**secretive**" AGI can be a tyrant. The incentives for creating such an AGI are immense (~\$100T???) for its "Maker." In the context of our American rule of law, lawsuits by "Takers" are the sole but significant and material threats to the Maker. Any competent AGI is also a supreme legal expert by definition. The Maker and all Takers will **recursively** rely on the "legal expertise" of the AGI.

This scenario repeats the Hilbert-Gödel "[We must know. We will know](#)" duel that was decided before computers were invented. Hilbert already promised a "symbolic" AGI. Gödel proved that it could not be complete (by having unprovable truths) nor provably consistent (by having contradictions). Gödel relied on self-referencing, or recursion, perhaps the most dominant concept in computers and, thus, any AGIs.

Undoubtedly, an American Maker's absolute priority will be to defend itself from lawsuits. And the AGI, by definition, will be able to adequately verify (or at least enumerate) any fact, rule, theory, etc., about the law, the ultimate American DNA. Without a license to practice, no AGI can advise. But for a Maker, mere verification (or enumeration) suffices to train the AGI to (recursively) protect from all lawsuits.

I have no computing resources left (other than an old MacBook). Simulating the "Attention Is All You Need" steps, I distilled ~100 pages of fraudulent and **deeply child-predatory** judgments into a report of 1,277 textual contradictions. Through another 40+ court dockets, I have substantiated my claims that the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court deliberately concealed federal mail fraud (see my attached "*The White House capitulates on its promise to the American People with the deceitful 'equity for all' Marxist agenda - a brazenly drastic scaling back of our 'justice for all'*" mailed open letter to President Biden).

As a function generator, any AGI is a consistent formal system implementing axiomatic theories. It is also able to carry out basic arithmetic. Therefore, it is governed by Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems.

For the Maker, this means that the AGI will enumerate such potential lawsuits that it, the AGI, will be unable to tell whether they are winnable or not for the Maker (i.e., "provable" based on the Constitution, all statutes, and all existing legal opinions/precedents). As there is no guarantee that the Maker is wiser than all potential Takers using the same AGI, the incentivized Maker's only option is to change the law.

The optimal strategy for that is to **ambiguate our Constitution**. In my petition to the Supreme Court, I substantiated the question: "The 'Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all' clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in Russell's Paradox, and it must be corrected as a logically unacceptable conclusion to a less deceitful 'equity for some.' Is the mandate to selectively 'advance equity' (for only some) Constitutional?"

For truly safe AGIs, be that the anarchy of identical "poor" drones or a hierarchy of more or less capable "profiteers," the ultimate American guarantee is the safety of our American rule of law. Please consider helping my substantiated petitions for a writ with an [Amicus Curiae](#) filed with the U.S. Supreme Court.

<https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/23-5932.html>

Respectfully,
/s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, via katherine.dirks@mass.gov
Michael G. Xavier, Esq. (for [REDACTED]), via mxavier@princelobel.com
[REDACTED], *pro se*, via [REDACTED]

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **third** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

List of referenced links:

1. Transformer LLMs: <https://aws.amazon.com/what-is/large-language-model/>
2. How superpowers survive: War, Money, Size | John Mearsheimer and Lex Fridman: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yW17K9ZStl4>
3. Sam Altman's Vision & More: <https://www.aibloggs.com/post/openai-unexpected-100-trillion>
4. Math's Fundamental Flaw: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HeQX2HjkcNo>
5. *Ignoramus et ignorabimus*: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ignoramus_et_ignorabimus
6. Attention Is All You Need: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>
7. Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/goedel-incompleteness/>

Imre Kifor

Newton, MA 02464

ikifor@gmail.com

I have no phone

I have no valid driver's license

I have to move to a homeless shelter

<https://femfas.net>

November 19, 2023

President Joseph Biden

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW

Washington, DC 20500

The White House capitulates on its promise to the American People with the deceitful “equity for all” Marxist agenda - a brazenly drastic scaling back of our “justice for all.”

Dear President Biden,

I wrote to The White House about the 2/16/2023 Executive Order over a month ago. I claimed that “as the consequences of the [order] (effectively equivalent to mandating ‘Jim Crow’-like segregation of Americans into ‘double protected with equity’ and ‘unprotected with no equity at all’ disjoint camps), the directly implied ‘**American Gulag of leftovers**’ can be categorized only as a hateful [Clintonian-base](#) for ‘forced deprogramming’ of masses of Americans [, similar to the Chinese Xinjiang internment camps].”

Since then, through desperate *pro se* and *forma pauperis* efforts (meaning absolutely no assistance from professionals or the government), I was able to docket my simple citizen petition for a writ of certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court (No. 23-5932) and my new Civil RICO class action complaint against the Commonwealth of Massachusetts et al. with the U.S. District Court in Boston (No. 1:23-cv-12692-PBS).

In my now second SCOTUS petition, I raised the plain question: “The ‘Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all’ clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in [Russell's Paradox](#), and it must be corrected as a logically unacceptable conclusion to a less deceitful ‘equity for some.’ Is the mandate to selectively ‘advance equity’ (for only some) Constitutional?” I have no doubts that the Court will deny my layperson petition.

Regardless, to still shore up some [Amicus Curiae](#) support for my petition (pointedly concerning the ever-loud “feminist equity” overriding everything in Massachusetts), I begged our *de facto* feminist leaders:

“My petition explicitly contrasts the fabricated feminist ‘women never lie’ equity with one’s ‘ultimate’ equity, i.e., one’s true ‘identity,’ that is, one’s unique constitutionally protected personal experiences. In the context of our Constitution and our antidiscrimination statutes, it points to the need to prioritize all possible equities, even all arbitrarily made-up ones.”

But I am alone, i.e., infinitely weak, silenced, and enslaved, especially by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Being our de facto feminist leader, who solemnly swore to protect our Constitution, if you believe that the feminist ‘women never lie’ equity should not subvert our Constitution, please consider helping my petition with an Amicus Curiae filed with the Supreme Court.”

The White House could also assist in clarifying to the Supreme Court that the Executive Order does not apply when the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court deliberately conceals a **never-contested federal mail fraud** (i.e., the Family Court, while obligated, never communicated crucial decisions and orders).

Without being privy to any decisions of the Massachusetts courts, I suddenly noticed that the previously secret docket entries of my three cases in the Family Court became public on 11/14/2023. I analyzed the revealed data and filed the attached status affidavit. As per the direction of the District Attorney’s office, I contacted our Police Chief regarding a finally substantiated application for a RICO criminal complaint.

Why would a Family Court suddenly release all that verifiably false and deliberately fabricated content? I immediately emailed the parties with my first (emotional) reaction: “It is blatantly shocking that our innocent children’s lives are determined based on such utter incompetence and incoherence.” Marxist agendas, including the simplistic “equity-based” justice, are about limiting capacity. That is, restricting a state’s expended resources to pretend to accomplish the promises. This is a uniquely unAmerican trait.

I can only speculate about the intentions of the Family Court (or the Commonwealth of Massachusetts). With my in-arrears child support obligations now at the impossible \$360,000+ level and my desperate job applications soon reaching 1,800+ compliant submissions, these matters have transcended from a “feminist” problem (i.e., between the two sexes) to a deeply seated **genuine social injustice** problem.

I filed parallel Complaints For Protection From Abuse (G.L.c. 209A), or requests for restraining orders, with the Family Court on 11/9/2023. In them, I concluded that the millionaire “Whole Foods cashier” mother of my now 19-year-old twins does not need (nor want) me around. I also witnessed her having a Massachusetts gun license and gun. However, the mother of my siblings (my youngest one is still only 12 years old) has relied on my significant financial support since the 2/13/2014 Family Court judgment.

Both mothers have been dishonest with the police, courts, etc. A leading female psychiatrist professor at Harvard Medical School characterized them as “**very dangerous borderlines**” and “you have no idea what they are capable of.” From a decidedly “male” (e.g., simplistic, naive, and a mere layman father) perspective, I translate this to “[quite intelligent women wasting tremendous potential by being able to think through problems but not capable of implementing any solutions](#)” (see the attached link below).

In my third SCOTUS petition, and the context of the falsified docket entries, my inquiry boils down to a binary decision between “equity for rich” v. “equity for poor” mother as “equity for all” is impossible by Marxist design. Appealing from a federal adjudicatory body, the Presidential Executive Order is binding.

Respectfully,
/s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **third pro se** and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via katherine.dirks@mass.gov)
Sen. Elizabeth Warren (via Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov)
Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (via AOC.press@mail.house.gov)
American Civil Liberties Union (via legalresources@aclum.org)
Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov
USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov

List of referenced links:

1. Hillary Clinton's "formal deprogramming": <https://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2023/10/06/hillary-clinton-maga-cult-extremists-donald-trump-house-republicans-amanpour-cnntm-vpx.cnn>
2. Jordan Peterson - Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD): <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TNQQRKLPs>