

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
BOSTON DIVISION

IMRE KIFOR, individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

v.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS,
GOVERNOR MAURA HEALY (official capacity), ATTORNEY
GENERAL ANDREA CAMPBELL (official capacity),
COMMISSIONER GEOFFREY SNYDER (official capacity,
Department of Revenue, Child Support Enforcement Division),
MIDDLESEX PROBATE AND FAMILY COURT, THE
COUNSELING CENTER OF NEW ENGLAND (now
LIFESTANCE HEALTH, INC.), ATRIUS HEALTH,
[REDACTED], and [REDACTED],
Defendants.

Case No:
1:23-cv-12692-PBS

**IMRE KIFOR’S THIRD STATUS AFFIDAVIT ON AN “LGBTQ+” STATE USING
FEDERAL FUNDS TO SUBSIDIZE THE FORCEFUL SEPARATION AND AGENDA-
DRIVEN ALIENATION OF AMERICAN CHILDREN FROM THEIR PARENTS**

The Plaintiff, Imre Kifor (“Father”), respectfully states as follows:

- 1) Father’s above captioned Civil RICO class action complaint was docketed on 11/8/2023. It is a renewed and expanded iteration of Father’s original complaint docketed on 7/15/2022.
- 2) To further substantiate his complaint, Father documented and docketed his Status Affidavit On Systemically Falsified Family Court Docket Entries on 11/21/2023 and his Second Status Affidavit On Targeted Conspiracy To Discriminate And Retaliate Against A Forcedly Indigent Whistleblower Based On Race, Sex, National Origin, And Age on 12/12/2023.

- 3) The complaint referenced Father's petition for writ of certiorari, No. 23-5932, docketed with the U.S. Supreme Court on 11/1/2023. Father's already second SCOTUS petition questioned the SJC-13427 decision by the Mass. Supreme Judicial Court ("SJC") issued on 8/8/2023.
- 4) Father submitted his now third petition on 12/25/2023 questioning the No. 23-1008 decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit ("USCA1") issued on 8/4/2023. With this latest petition, Father substantiated his allegations of **"dogmatic interplay"** between courts.
- 5) With a submitted 879 pages of carefully preserved record appendices, Father documented in his SCOTUS petition that the "endlessly circular" court decisions are all part of a manifestly unconstitutional, logically flawed, and **naive Marxist "equity-based justice" subversion.**
- 6) Father specifically claimed in the petition (see attached) that "SJC-13427 endorsed that in the 'LGBTQ+' (but in actuality only driven to 'maximize federal reimbursements' using our dear children) Massachusetts, our civil rights and explicit antidiscrimination statutes always come secondary to any inherently contradictory and incoherent (but lucrative) 'feminist equities.'"
- 7) Significantly, Father consistently documented once again on 12/25/2023 that "Due to agenda-driven forced parental alienation, the retaliating Family Court has spared no effort to separate the children from their Father. Since 4/28/2011, he has had only supervised contact with his children. The countless monitors were openly activist professionals subsidized by the State, yet no monitor ever complained about Father's conduct. Consequently, Father cannot think of any reasonable justifications for his dear children to express negativity toward him."
- 8) Previously, Father wrote on 7/17/2017: "Dear Respected Law Enforcement Officers, ... Ms. Gaffny confirmed what pretty much every father would instinctively know: supervised visits, in their current form, were specifically designed to be a most humiliating and cruel tool

available for ‘feminist predators’ in their quest to dominate. As my visits reported no issues whatsoever, month after month, the lawyers had to manufacture some sort of conflict. The ‘whispering incident’ was artificially injected as a venue by Dr. Deutsch to discredit both my court filings and the problem-free supervised visit reports. The Court allowed this fraud to fester, and the lawyers, as they could not touch me, took aim at the director. Ms. G [REDACTED] was ‘thrown under the bus’ for creating a nurturing and humanized environment” (see attached).

- 9) Most significantly, Father meticulously documented already in 2017: “While MSPCC was destroyed with our cases, [Ms. G.] provided emotional insights into the secretive ‘supervised visitation meetings’ by ‘man-hating feminists’: **‘no men were allowed at the meetings,’ ‘the agenda was strictly exclusionary,’ ‘nobody escaped supervision,’ ‘[activist] protocols were designed to maximize humiliation,’ ‘like you would imagine a Nazi meeting.’**”
- 10) Consequently, the children’s forced & abusive “name change” hearing in the Family Court on 12/12/2023 resulted in the testimony that the children feel “fatherless.” As “extreme parental alienation should be considered emotional child abuse and referred criminally,” the minor children’s feelings can only be attributed to the Family Court's predatory activist agenda.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury.

December 28, 2023,

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Imre Kifor
Imre Kifor, Pro Se
[REDACTED]
Newton, MA 02464
ikifor@gmail.com
I have no phone
I have no valid driver’s license
I have to move to a homeless shelter
<https://femfas.net>