November 10, 2024

President-Elect Donald J. Trump The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500 Vice President-Elect JD Vance The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500 Elon Musk Tesla Headquarters 1 Tesla Road Austin, TX 78725

Forced Fatherlessness Is The Manifested Objective Behind The Child-Predatory "Feminism" And Profiteering "LGBTQ+" Dual Discrimination Schemes In Today's Agenda-Driven Massachusetts

Dear President-Elect Donald J. Trump, Vice President-Elect JD Vance, and Elon Musk,

Waking up Wednesday morning to peace and silence on the streets felt unbelievably liberating. Having been raised in a Marxist tyranny, I gained a visceral understanding of how the malicious State routinely fabricates intractable conflict, purposefully and only to justify its unbearable fear-mongering existence.

I am incredibly grateful to you for your focused and persistent efforts to engineer such an overwhelming and disciplined "victory of reason and common sense" for the future of America. And I congratulate you for leading the now clear majority of Americans to strongly reject a soon-to-be former President Biden's **sneering "garbage"** remark (and <u>officially devaluing classification</u>) for half of the country's population.

As a fiercely apolitical immigrant and a loving "simple" father, I have been writing to The White House regarding the <u>2/16/2023 Executive Order</u>. I have been repeatedly claiming that "as the consequences of [President Biden's] Executive Order (effectively equivalent to mandating 'Jim Crow'-like segregation of Americans into 'double protected with equity' and 'unprotected with no equity at all' disjoint camps), the directly implied **'American Gulag of leftovers'** can be categorized only as a hateful <u>Clintonian-base</u> for 'forced deprogramming' of masses of Americans," similar to the Chinese Xinjiang internment camps.

I justified my claims (that the Presidential Order has a crucial and fundamental **logical fallacy**) in my three docketed *pro se* and indigent SCOTUS petitions by substantiating my repeated layman (but also pressing legal) question: "The 'Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all' clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in <u>Russell's Paradox</u>, and it must be corrected as a logically unacceptable conclusion to a less deceitful 'equity for some.' Is the mandate to selectively 'advance equity' (for only some) Constitutional?"

Moreover, in my appellants' brief filed with the U.S. Court Of Appeals For The First Circuit, No. 24-1075, I summarized my arguments on 3/3/2024: "1) The Issue Of 'Equity For All': To resolve the flaw in deductive logic carelessly introduced by [President Biden] **naively enumerating** the purposely noninclusive 'LGBTQ+' alphabet soup of 'specially protect from others' groups without mentioning the always inherently present 'leftovers,' i.e., Russell's Paradox, the deceitful 'equity for all' promise of the Executive Order must be corrected to a mere 'equity for a chosen some' in contradiction with the U.S. Constitution, 2) The Issue Of Sovereign Immunity: After growing up as a hated minority in a communist tyranny, [this "simple" non-LGBTQ+ father] sympathizes with all minorities. Therefore, the 'LGBTQ+' label used herein refers to [my] already elaborated claim that 'as the consequences of the Executive Order (effectively equivalent to mandating new 'Jim Crow'-like segregation of Americans... [see above]. 3) The Issue Of 'Dogmatic Interplay': In his petition, [this father] substantiated the controversy from the lower courts as a 'dogmatic interplay' to subvert justice based on individual merit and replace it with justice based on [the simplistic], efficient, and convenient but also entirely superficial 'group identity.""

The root controversy of my lawsuits stems from my "first principles"-based observations, analysis, and meticulous documentation of the forced fatherlessness, i.e., the <u>extreme parental alienation</u>, that my four dear children experienced in the agenda-driven Massachusetts courts since 2011. I referred to the "win-win" divorce strategy by attorney Monroe Inker, a self-described "father of Massachusetts divorce law" from Harvard, as the **Marxist-inspired and deeply child-predatory "feminism" invented by men**.

The ruthless child-predatory strategy is as follows: provoke the distraught father out-of-court by openly torturing his dear children. Predictably, as any human, he will stereotypically respond by "attacking" the mother in court, i.e., openly "victimizing" her directly in front of the judge. Repeat this until he breaks down either by abandoning his children or by being ordered out of the life of his children by the court.

This blatant discrimination scheme based merely on sex was later refined by the first openly lesbian, i.e., the only credible "toxic masculinity combatant," Attorney General and now Governor Maura Healey, to a more precise (thus always "maximized" federal reimbursements producing) gender-based "LGBTQ+" discrimination "tool" in her proverbial "toolbox." The combined deeply child-predatory "feminist" and profiteering "LGBTQ+" dual discrimination schemes provide the ultimate vehicle for Massachusetts to impose the **Stalinist-inspired "predominantly white men are always guilty until proven innocent."**

However, as the American people seemingly took advantage of the liberating "last chance" elections to prevent the Marxist takeover of the country (and the implied deliberate subversion of our Constitution), the frightened citizens of Massachusetts could not follow their "sisters and brothers" in the other states to overwhelmingly reject the to-be-imposed Marxist (and punitive Stalinist) extremes. Still remembering the "forced march" to vote for Ceausescu in Romania, I did not dare to approach a Massachusetts voting booth due to our "one-party dominance" and the extreme "weaponized lawfare" I had been subjected to.

Specifically, as I have been living under an implied house arrest for years now (with literally everything stolen from me), I have no ability anymore to overcome the all too real threat <u>voiced by Massachusetts</u> just days ago, i.e., "Massachusetts Democratic Gov. Maura Healey... warning that **she will use 'every tool in the toolbox'** to 'protect' residents in the blue state" (see attached). As a legal immigrant, I have tried to use the same "tools in the toolbox" when meticulously collecting and diligently assembling my 5,514-page "SJC Record" the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ordered on purpose. While the state

and federal anti-discrimination statutes equally apply to all, the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office ("AGO") continues to claim that "absolute immunities [for Stalinist 'tools']" exist for them to bully by adopting "guilty until proven innocent" principles and to openly discriminate against "Biden's garbage."

Starting in 2018, I have now sent **174 complaints (and/or desperate messages)** to the AGO regarding the rabid anti-immigrant and anti-"toxic masculinity" discriminations I experienced in Massachusetts:

"Nevertheless, to support an activist and forcefully projected 'feminist' agenda, a notoriously cruel **400 times GAL** Harvard psychologist, a child predator Dr. Robin Deutsch, was brought in to custom fabricate factually false and thoroughly infantile QAnon-style narratives for the [court], specifically: '[child] is afraid the father will 'put suction cups on her feet and take her out the window,' and [child] is afraid the father would 'put him in boiling water' if he went back in the father's care.'"

The "superstar" GAL based her incomplete, faulty, and biased (with my meticulously documented **900**+ errors and distortions) investigation on a provocatively administered and defective "psychology test" by a sex-obsessed postdoc with an experience of "probably 10" completed evaluations (without a license).

I diligently reported to Maura Healey, the then Attorney General and proud author of the profiteering "LGBTQ+" discrimination "tool" against the "toxic masculine" fathers of our dear innocent children:

"The GALs went on to lead the American Psychological Association and Pediatric Gender Program at Yale. My forced indigency, caused by systemic discriminations and sustained retaliations by [the AGO], started with my email: Dr. Olezeski, Is your 'Pediatric Gender Program,' in fact, in plain English, **castrating young American boys**? It is well known that the Nazis, as part of the 'emerging eugenics movement,' started with castrating the hated 'inferior' minorities (for clarity, I grew up as a deeply hated minority in a ruthless dictatorship). They moved onto gassing them in masses only after the population and 'scientific community' did not complain nor 'resist' them in any way."

I now have federal proof that the Healey government's combined child-predatory "feminist"/profiteering "LGBTQ+" dual discrimination schemes' manifested objective is to target "ignorant immigrants" to first father children and then "steal" the forever abused and victimized "fatherless children" for "maximized" federal reimbursements. The Healey government is using all the "tricks" (e.g., **mail fraud, obstruction, falsified dockets, etc.**) to cheat and to extort the last penny from a blinded federal government by using immigrants while also barring them access to her "tools in the toolbox," see my endlessly sabotaged *pro se* Title VI/VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d/e, *et seq.*) and Civil RICO lawsuits.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

Cc: Senator Elizabeth Warren, via <u>Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov</u> Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Deputy Chief, Government Bureau, via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u> <u>Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov</u> <u>USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov</u>

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit (in support of my motion for an injunction against the Commonwealth of Massachusetts submitted to the U.S. Court Of Appeals For The First Circuit, No. 24-1075).

Referenced Links:

- 1. https://www.cnn.com/2024/10/30/politics/kamala-harris-joe-biden-garbage-comment/index.html,
- <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2023/02/16/executive-order-on-further-advancing-racial-equity-and-support-for-underserved-communities-through-the-federal-government/</u>,
- 3. <u>https://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2023/10/06/hillary-clinton-maga-cult-extremists-donald-trump-house-republicans-amanpour-cnntm-vpx.cnn</u>,
- 4. https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/russell-paradox/,
- 5. https://www.ncsc.org/__data/assets/pdf_file/0014/42152/parental_alienation_Lewis.pdf,
- 6. <u>https://www.foxnews.com/politics/dem-governor-threatens-use-every-tool-fight-back-against-trump-era-deportations</u>.

Attachments

(not mailed due to intractable indigency forced on me by Massachusetts)

October 13, 2022

President Joseph Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Biden,

I was pleasantly surprised on 12/29/2021 when I received the email response from The White House regarding my desperate letters to you. I truly appreciated the gesture and your presidential signature. However, my situation has worsened since then. Please see my attached letters to Sen. Warren et al. titled *"The 'Elite' Absolute Autocracy Is In Contempt Of Our Constitution And Our Rule Of Law."*

While I am preoccupied with mere survival and without any energy or time to indulge in politics, I still carefully read your "<u>Remarks by President Biden on the Continued Battle for the Soul of the Nation</u>."

Your words resonated with me: "... as I stand here tonight, equality and democracy are under assault. We do ourselves no favor to pretend otherwise.... I believe America is at an inflection point — one of those moments that determine the shape of everything that's to come... This is a nation that honors our Constitution. We do not reject it... This is a nation that believes in the rule of law. We do not repudiate it... America is an idea — the most powerful idea in the history of the world... Our task is to make our nation free and fair, just and strong, noble and whole." This is precisely why I am a proud American!

I am not a politician, nor am I aligned with any ideologies, and I do not need to conclude. Moreover, the Russians are not coming (as they lack the competency to go even 100 miles), the Chinese are paralyzed by their "Communism," and "MAGA people" seem to keep falling into every political trap set for them.

Nevertheless, the American Constitution and the rule of law are under assault. Powerful forces are ready to not directly "attack" it but to sabotage and subvert it. As a now forcedly indigent citizen, I am not an expert and I have no credentials. I am a mere eyewitness, a whistleblower with intellectual grit and an innate understanding (as a hated minority) of what's on the "other side." Once these "activist" saboteurs get to "fix" the American rule of law, the result will be quite indistinguishable from the rest of the world.

"Science is the belief in the ignorance of experts," said Richard Feynman. Feynman had a Nobel prize in physics. During his lifetime, Feynman became one of the best-known scientists in the world. Therefore,

I did not find the congressional <u>confusion</u> (or mere political trap) about "define a woman" convincing. A fiercely independent and prudent American, I would not go there. The concept of "men" is a lot easier: they are humans that cannot get pregnant, the humans who are just plain useless as **"birthing people."**

"Men" are so simple and basic, so "unevolved" that they even get codified in the Massachusetts Equal Rights Act as the referential basis of the powerful anti-discrimination statutes. Yet, in my latest petitions to the Mass. SJC, my existential controversies (rooted in my *Civil RICO Complaint* and *Affidavit On Endless Existential Denials In State Courts*) boiled down to the question: "can men get pregnant?"

I have no power to answer that fundamental question. If men can get pregnant, then I am handicapped from birth. Equally, if men cannot get pregnant, the onslaught of sadistic retaliation against me is the same employment discrimination, not based on handicap but sex. As this is existential and relevant to my demonstrated unemployability, I have secured a "Notice Of Right To Sue" from the EEOC.

Please allow me to be specific before respectfully asking for your guidance regarding the simple "can men get pregnant?" I am a loving and committed father of four children. The Family Court has subjected me to targeted abuse, massive invalidations and destruction for the last 11+ years. While documenting the steps of the arduous process, I have now identified a systemic pattern of racketeering by the State to obtain fabricated federal reimbursements in the millions. And yes, **it is about our innocent children**.

Clearly, as "simple men" (who cannot ever get pregnant, regardless of our emotions), we still keep our children on our minds. And even the powerful politicians of the world are vulnerable to ruthless attacks through their children. With everything stolen from me, I stand on the opposite side of the "power" spectrum. It is unsurprising that the profiteering State, claiming "equity" for fairness, leverages our innocent children in a **conspiracy to silence and enslave** precisely on those who "cannot ever have."

The State has deliberately alienated my children from me. Based on allowed activist fraud, the State ordered supervised visitations even with my then newborn. As a never-violent and always-calm father, I followed the orders. I submitted myself to every conceivable test, completed **500+ monitored visits** with my children with no complaints whatsoever, and even after the State ordered me not to contact my children again, I still attempted to maintain a bond with them **1,360 times**. The objective of he State has now crystalized: as I have never seen my younger children outside supervised visitations, the State has eagerly accepted their gaslighted affidavits claiming that my name is **"awkward & embarrassing."**

The White House could confirm that "men can get pregnant." It will promptly turn all those "dependent" American citizens (who cannot ever get pregnant, regardless of their emotions) into plain useless (as "birthing people") targets of profiteering conspiracies to silence and enslave for federal reimbursements.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/how-gaslighting-manipulates-reality/

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my employment discrimination complaint against the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and its deeply child-predatory Family Court.



Response to Your Message

The White House <noreply@contact.whitehouse.gov> To: ikifor@gmail.com Imre Kifor <ikifor@gmail.com>

Wed, Dec 29, 2021 at 6:51 PM



December 29, 2021

Dear Imre,

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughts with me. Hearing from passionate individuals like you inspires me every day, and I welcome the opportunity to respond to your letter.

Our country faces many challenges, and the road we will travel together will be one of the most difficult in our history. Despite these tough times, I have never been more optimistic for the future of America. I believe we are better positioned than any country in the world to lead in the 21st century not just by the example of our power but by the power of our example.

While we may not always agree on how to solve every issue, I pledge to be a President for all Americans. I am confident that we can work together to find common ground to make America a more just, prosperous, and secure Nation.

As we move forward to address the complex issues of our time, I encourage you to remain an active participant in helping write the next great chapter of the American story. We need your courage and dedication at this critical time, and we must meet this moment together as the United States of America. If we do that, I believe that our best days still lie ahead.

Sincerely,

Joz, Sen

If you wish to receive regular email updates from the White House, please click here. You may also follow President Biden and the White House on Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and YouTube.

White House Website I Privacy Policy I Contact the White House

November 13, 2022

Maura Healey Attorney General Office of the Attorney General One Ashburton Place, 18th Floor Boston, MA 02108 (via katherine.dirks@state.ma.us) Ron DeSantis Governor, State of Florida The Capitol 400 S. Monroe St. Tallahassee, FL 32399 Chris Sununu Governor, State of New Hampshire State House 107 North Main Street Concord, NH 03301

Dear Governor-Elect Maura Healey: As A Simple Straight Boy, I Was Born Handicapped... (... and I cannot ever be a husband and a mother at the same time)

First, I congratulate you on your historic "first lesbian elected to lead a state" win. However, growing up in communism, I have always considered everyone equal as "workers" and I would never consider segregating anyone into "lesbian" or "straight" identities with their inherent manipulative insinuations.

I also grew up as a deeply hated minority, and I can empathize with you as an apparent victim. Besides, I understand and admire your achievements in the complete and **utter eradication** of any traces of "toxic masculinity" from your personal and political lives, as is shared by all victims with ambitious and robust intellects. I have tried to do the same with my "triggers," the paralyzing poverty inherent in communism.

Inclusion and acceptance are clear priorities for you (see linked articles below). I fully agree, and, as a legal immigrant, I consider diversity outright fundamental in the "physical and mental health" of anyone or anything. In fact, as a man, I would have never achieved anything without the women in my presence tempering my perhaps ambitious obsessions and my inherently unavoidable imbalances. Living my life without that accepting daily male-female "balancing act" would have been a significant personal loss.

As the Massachusetts Attorney General, you have been claiming to be "leading the people's law firm as the people's lawyer." I personally find that **grossly dishonest** and have now substantiated my allegations with thousands and thousands of pages of evidence filed in the Massachusetts courts. In my naive *pro se* efforts, as a forcedly indigent loving father of my four children, I have sued you in your official capacity.

That "people's law firm's" calculated inaction, perhaps driven by personal hatred for the stereotypical "masculinity" in Massachusetts, has now caused the unnecessary accumulation of ~\$300,000+ in unpaid support obligations for our deeply tortured children and endlessly repeated lawsuits in our state courts.

Addressing a roaring crowd on election day, you dedicated your win to "every little girl and every young LGBTQ person out there ... I hope tonight shows you that you can be whatever, whoever you want to be." Due to my lawsuits against you, I could not vote for you. But I could not vote for "election deniers" either. So I stayed away, quietly observing the sinister tones of the **narcissistic extremism** (i.e., blatantly ignoring half of our dear children, our simple and straight boys) identical to that of the "MAGA people."

The "MAGA conspiracy" is finally running out of steam, and thus I felt victorious. I could not align with the "election deniers" because they had violated the laws of reason: they forcefully wanted to derive the facts from the narratives. The subject of my whistleblower lawsuits is the exact opposite: I reconstructed the plots from the actual events. Based on all my meticulously collected pieces of evidence, I alleged in federal court the racketeering narrative of our profiteering state, targeting millions in "reimbursements" from the shared pool of all federal taxes based on deeply **child-predatory** "radical activist" fabrications.

Perhaps unsurprisingly, the state has then opposed and sabotaged my first amendment rights "to petition my government" and investigate. The under-oath lies of the prominent "feminist activists" Drs. Deutsch, Olezeski, Kurens, and Goldsmith were massive and deeply child-predatory invalidations. And so were the alienating acts of the NH therapists Drs. Lawson, Gallagher, Tempesta, Katragadda, and the ruthless subornations and "Trojan-horse" betrayals of the lawyers Otis, Lenihan, Foley, Lacivita, Harris, etc.

They all shared the same **"truth denier" activism**: men are unnecessary in an "inclusive" (of minimal percentages of society) utopia, and should they have any resources left, the Family Courts will forcefully alienate and turn their children against them. "Unsophisticated" straight men cannot ever get pregnant, and should they desire a fulfilling life, leveraging their loved ones will always silence and enslave them.

I substantiated my allegations of this conspiracy to silence and enslave in court. Yet even our Supreme Judicial Court seemingly denied the simple truth when recently insinuating that I had attempted to force a second appeal of the forced indigency orders without citing any records of any "granted" first reviews.

Our state's current "inclusive" and "progressive" conclusive presumptions that men are evil (and should be forcefully alienated from their children) and they always lie (as "forced indigency" for them is just a diversion) have been falsified by the recent proofs of allowed subornation of perjury on children and the delusional attempt by our state to extract \$100,000+ from accounts that predictably had only a mere \$85.

You also promised: "we're going to be a better state, we'll be a better country when more voices are at the table, especially voices that have not been heard historically." My above points may become refuted or moot. Our Governor can pardon us, the three parents, the true victims of the child-predatory officials.

If not, and our state courts continue to deny the truth (only to conceal the child-predatory activism), then my only chance for survival will be to **plead for "political asylum"** from Govs. DeSantis and Sununu.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as a material part of my "Affidavit On State-Sponsored Systemic And Total Parental Alienation" filed with the Mass. Supreme Judicial Court (dockets SJ-2022-0407, SJC-13339 and SJ-2022-0380) and my federal Civil RICO Class Action Complaint (U.S. District Court docket 1:22-cv-11141-PBS).

https://www.cbsnews.com/boston/news/maura-healey-governor-massachusetts-lgbtq-community/ https://www.nbcnews.com/nbc-out/out-politics-and-policy/massachusetts-maura-healey-rcna55236 https://www.foxnews.com/opinion/ron-desantis-new-republican-party-leader https://www.rollingstone.com/politics/politics-features/chris-sununu-new-hampshire-governor-trumprepublican-party-1234602759/

June 15, 2023

Governor Maura Healey Massachusetts State House 24 Beacon St. Office of the Governor, Room 280 Boston, MA 02133 (via katherine.dirks@state.ma.us) Mayor Ruthanne Fuller City of Newton 1000 Commonwealth Ave. Newton Centre, MA 02459 (via <u>rfuller@newtonma.gov</u>)

Conspiracy To Silence And Enslave Only To Conceal Title VI Discriminations And Retaliations

Dear Governor Maura Healey, Dear Mayor Ruthanne Fuller,

I first approached the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office, "The People's Law Firm," on 2/13/2018.

In my "Institutionalized child abuse" email to <u>ago@state.ma.us</u> and <u>DCFCommissioner@state.ma.us</u>, as well as to the then Concord and Westford Police Chiefs, I substantiated my allegations about the allowed **sustained and systemic child abuse** by the Middlesex Probate And Family Court. The root controversy was the deeply child-predatory GAL investigation conducted by two sex-obsessed "feminist" activist Harvard psychologists who purpose-fabricated infantile QAnon-style and "toxic masculinity" narratives.

These "nasty" GALs went on to lead the American Psychological Association and the "Pediatric Gender Program" at Yale after repeatedly lying to and knowingly misleading our courts. The state's retaliations and my forced indigency started with my email: "Dr. Olezeski, Is your 'Pediatric Gender Program,' in fact, in plain English, castrating young American boys? It is well known that the Nazis, as part of their 'emerging eugenics movement, 'started with castrating the hated 'inferior' minorities (for clarity, I grew up as a deeply hated minority in a ruthless dictatorship). They moved onto gassing them in masses only after the population and 'scientific community' did not complain nor 'resist' them in any way."

In my *pro se* civil rights violations lawsuit against the Commonwealth in the Middlesex Superior Court, I filed my **"I Can't Breathe" Affidavit On The Crushing Burden Of The State** on 5/10/2021 (see attached as an under oath addendum to my just submitted "*Imre Kifor's Status Affidavit On Sustained And Systemic Civil Rights Violations*" to the now full Massachusetts Supreme Court, SJC-13427.pdf).

I documented on 5/10/2021: "The state's disinterest in dealing with 'toxic masculinity' discriminations, and thus systemic, child-predatory 'official' punishments by Family Court, is further emphasized by Father's now **42 confirmations** from the AGO of receiving his complaints. An additional 10 letters from the AGO and Family Court advise the struggling Father that, '[we] will not be conducting a further review of your complaint, 'and that, 'the Civil Rights Division has decided not to further investigate.'"

Nevertheless, the now substantiated retaliatory conspiracy to silence and enslave by the state continued. My in-arrears obligations to my children have now reached **\$330,000+**, which even the MA DOR CSE is trying to minimize (or just falsify). As an otherwise hard-working and successful professional, I have always been enthusiastic about my work, and I continue to perform it to this day without missing a beat.

The Family Court still ordered me to seek "minimum wage jobs," only to somehow openly force me into **financially abandoning my dear children**. As per the orders, I emailed **800**+ job solicitations in 2019. The Family Court then revised the orders and assigned a probation officer to monitor my applications. In full compliance, I have now submitted another **750**+ job applications directly supervised by the courts.

In the parallel Family Court hearings on 3/23/2023, I argued that no business in their right mind would ever even screen me for a starting interview with \$330,000+ of in-arrears child support/obligations when \$10,000+ is a federal felony. Also, it does not help that I am 61, despite my verifiable professional work.

Continuing the state's manifest dismay and utter disinterest in any "masculinity" discrimination matters, not directly related to the now politically trendy, sex-obsessed, and entirely child-focused LGTBQIA2S+ national activism, my attached desperate "Pardon Petition" to the Governor has also been fully ignored.

I have been arguing in various federal courts, see attached, that "the State continues to openly divert significant federal assistance to finance this activist 'experiment,' a targeted discrimination based on national origin in Father's specific case, armed with purpose-fabricated 'mental health' fraud."

While I moved the courts to grant **Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964**, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 injunctions, the controversy persists: the "war of attrition" works and an **emerging American Gulag** will silence and enslave "dangerous" whistleblowers just like the original Soviet did.

I hereby respectfully request homeless "Emergency Solutions Grant" protections that the City of Newton participates in (see my attached email chain). As my entire financial past has been meticulously filed with the Massachusetts Appeals Court, I am prepared to submit any (and all) of my financial details.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Cc: City of Newton FY2024 ESG Recipients¹: The Brookline Center (via info@brooklinecenter.org) The Community Day Center (via director@communitydaycenter.org) The Second Step, Inc. (via resources@thesecondstep.org)

¹ <u>https://www.newtonma.gov/government/planning/housing-community-development/homelessness</u>

July 29, 2023

Governor Maura Healey Massachusetts State House, 24 Beacon St. Office of the Governor, Room 280 Boston, MA 02133 (via <u>katherine.dirks@state.ma.us</u>)

An "LGBTQ+" Massachusetts Ruthlessly Profiteers From Child-Abusive "Pseudo-Science"

I am a white male. I am also a loving and dedicated father of my four dear children. I am not confused as a responsible and hard-working parent. I have no political agenda or a victim mentality. As an educated and emotionally stable professional, I am fully able and capable to stand my ground intellectually.

Therefore, I have a formed and mature internal value system, and **I am certainly not "fluid."** I know (and fully accept and embrace) that I will never become a "pregnant man." I also know that all these "identities" could stereotypically label me with "toxic masculinity," "white supremacy," "misogyny," "racism," etc., in a superficial and cheap (but politically lucrative) Marxist equity-based justice system.

However, I am also a "legal alien" who was allowed to enter the U.S. in 1986 as a political refugee from the then communist Romania. Due to growing up in a ruthless (but racially homogenous) tyranny as a hated minority, I thoroughly lack any "racist" or "supremacist" aspirations. Therefore, I have nothing to do with (nor guilty by any means of) the past "American slavery" and its sinful racial discrimination.

I am forever grateful. The local black community reached out first to provide me with much-needed help and assistance upon my arrival to the U.S. in 1986 (or, unjustly, to the Middlesex County Jail in 2019).

Supreme Court Justice Thomas eloquently characterized this controversy: "Today's 17-year-olds, after all, did not live through the Jim Crow era, enact or enforce segregation laws, or take any action to oppress or enslave the victims of the past. Whatever their skin color, today's youth simply are not responsible for instituting the segregation of the 20th century, and they do not shoulder the moral debts of their ancestors. Our Nation should not punish today's youth for the sins of the past." Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President & Fellows of Harvard Coll., No. 20-1199, 93 (U.S. Jun. 29, 2023).

It is also well-known that communism explicitly doesn't distinguish between men and women. Growing up in communism, nobody becomes a "misogynist" (or a follower of the "American patriarchy") simply because "feminism" doesn't exist as a concept (or as an activist aspiration) worth any effort fighting for.

In fact, as proof of my deep and firm belief in the **equality between men and women**, I unconditionally shared, just as I had promised, all the financial fruits of my relentless intellectual labor with the two mothers of my four dear children. As a sole software developer, I sold one of my one-person businesses in 1999 for \$25M and my "future family residence" for \$500K in 2011. I immediately transferred the promised 40% shares (e.g., \$10M) to my now ex-wife and 50% of the proceeds (e.g., \$250K) to my now ex-fiancee, despite them being thoroughly ignorant of (or just incompetent with) software development.

Obscenely preying on the emotionally disturbed and bitter competition for supremacy between the two mothers, unmistakably driven by their irrational and thus intractable "female jealousy" (e.g., a multimillionaire mother not wanting to spend a penny to support her non-biological children versus a mother needing government assistance while scheming for \$10K/month child support), the Middlesex Family Court decided to **ruthlessly profiteer from deeply child-abusive "pseudo-science" psychology** when openly applying the activist-"feminist"-dictated (but purely Marxist) "equity-based justice" to the cases.

My ex-wife was allegedly molested as a small girl, but I never met her father. My ex-fiancee often had "flashbacks" of her father beating her older brothers bloody, but I only met an old, weak, and thoroughly confused man. Once again, I personally had nothing to do with either of the two mothers' suddenly convenient (but also validly traumatic!) past experiences with misogyny or the "American patriarchy."

Nevertheless, to support an activist (and thus forcefully projected) "feminist" agenda, a notoriously cruel 400 times GAL Harvard psychologist, **a child predator Dr. Robin Deutsch, PhD.**, was brought in to custom fabricate factually false and thoroughly infantile QAnon-style narratives for the Family Court, specifically: "[child] is afraid the Father will 'put suction cups on her feet and take her out the window,' and [child] is afraid the Father would 'put him in boiling water' if he went back in the Father's care."

The "superstar" GAL based her incomplete, faulty, and biased (with my meticulously documented 900+ errors and distortions) investigation on a provocatively administered and defective "psychology test" by a **sex-obsessed postdoc** with an experience of "probably 10" completed evaluations without a license.

The GALs went on to lead the American Psychological Association and "Pediatric Gender Program" at Yale. My forced indigency, hereon caused by systemic discriminations and sustained retaliations by the Attorney General's Office, started with my email: "Dr. Olezeski, Is your 'Pediatric Gender Program,' in fact, in plain English, castrating young American boys? It is well known that the Nazis, as part of their 'emerging eugenics movement, 'started with castrating the hated 'inferior' minorities (for clarity, I grew up as a deeply hated minority in a ruthless dictatorship). They moved onto gassing them in masses only after the population and 'scientific community' did not complain nor 'resist' them in any way."

After 5+ years of the deliberate conspiracy to silence and enslave this whistleblower, with a forcefully accrued \$340,000+ of court-ordered in-arrears child supports/expenses, this relentless open-source (i.e., unintentionally "free") software developer has submitted 1,600+ compliant job applications. Turning 62 in January (the start of retirement age in the U.S.), it is now clear that these numbers are insurmountable.

The seeming activist-feminist "hatred" by the "first lesbian" and the "first black woman" Massachusetts Governor and Attorney General, respectively, of anything "white" and (therefore toxic) "masculine" is apparent from the loudly discriminating public assistance and "The People's Law Firm" biased advocacy for only those Massachusetts citizens who are provenly loud and vocal about their thus "fluid identities."

I am painfully familiar with the concept of this chameleon-like "fluidity" of (the then still non-sexual!) identities. Communist tyrannies used it and perfected it. They deliberately constructed their massive informant networks on it. Living in communism, everybody learned to recognize and protect from it.

As the ultimate hypocrisy, President Biden keeps defending the Marxist-Communist equity-based justice: "... While the Court can render a decision, it cannot change what America stands for... Because the truth is — we all know it: Discrimination still exists in America... This is not a normal Court."

Yet, even the most progressive Maureen Dowd (my favorite columnist from the NY Times) loudly complains: "But the president can't defend Hunter on all his other messes and draw the line at accepting one little girl. You can't punish her for something she had no choice about. The Bidens should embrace the life Hunter brought into the world, even if he didn't consider her mother 'the dating type.'"

Why am I, a whistleblower raised in a vastly different (and explicitly non-racist/non-sexist) culture, while firmly maintaining those never-fluid identities, being forcefully silenced and enslaved (and thus punished into extinction) by a **discriminating "black/female/LGBTQ+" state government**, while also obscenely profiteering on the state level from the vast, apparently unprotected federal taxpayer funds?

Nevertheless, the refusal to investigate the child-predatory profiteering by the activist "elites" (e.g., the GALs colluding with the Family Court and the most prestigious "million-dollar" lawyers/partners in Boston to forcefully extract \$1,000,000+ in "legal fees" with deliberate Rule 60 fraud-on-the-court schemes and substantiated RICO racketeering by the state), combined with obstruction of justice and the coordinated conspiracy to silence and enslave a whistleblower with a deliberately induced and a now **eternally protracted existential employment, health, and housing crisis**, is itself discrimination.

As an almost 62 years old white male legal immigrant (who cannot ever become pregnant) with no criminal or otherwise record, I assert that I belong to all those necessary "protected classes" that form the thus satisfied criteria of all state and federal anti-discrimination statutes (specifically based on race, sex, national origin, and age) relative to an activist "black/female/LGBTQ+" Massachusetts government.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>) <u>USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov</u>

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **second** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

List of referenced links:

- 1. <u>https://www.mass.gov/news/healey-driscoll-administration-announces-expansion-of-services-and-shelter-for-families-experiencing-homelessness</u>
- 2. <u>https://www.mass.gov/news/healey-driscoll-administration-reaffirms-protections-for-gender-affirming-care-providers-and-patients</u>
- 3. <u>https://www.mass.gov/news/healey-driscoll-administration-announces-lgbtq-mental-health-resource-hubs</u>
- 4. <u>https://www.mass.gov/news/governor-healey-and-lt-governor-driscoll-appoint-kate-walsh-as-</u> secretary-of-health-and-human-services
- 5. <u>https://www.cnn.com/2023/06/29/politics/clarence-thomas-ketanji-brown-jackson-supreme-court-affirmative-action/index.html</u>
- 6. <u>https://www.foxnews.com/politics/gop-lawmaker-praised-truth-bomb-sex-change-operations-100-failure-rate</u>
- 7. <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/speeches-remarks/2023/06/29/remarks-by-president-biden-on-the-supreme-courts-decision-on-affirmative-action/</u>
- 8. https://www.nytimes.com/2023/07/08/opinion/hunter-biden-child.html

October 1, 2023

Merrick B. Garland, U.S. Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20530-0001 Andrea Joy Campbell, Mass. Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1 Ashburton Place, 18th Floor Boston, MA 02108 Xavier Becerra, Secretary U.S. Department of HHS 200 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20201

Dear Attorney General Merrick B. Garland, Dear Attorney General Andrea Joy Campbell, Dear Secretary Xavier Becerra,

Pursuant to the below listed Federal statutes, I am respectfully giving notice by this herein registered mail that I intend to commence the attached action, titled:

Class Action Complaint For Relief And Damages -- Violations of Title VI/VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d/e, *et seq.*), Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. § 621, *et seq.*), Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), deprivation of civil rights (42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, and 1985), and systemic/sustained Civil RICO (18 U.S.C. § 1962) prohibited activities,

in the U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts, on or about November 1, 2023.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>) Michael G. Xavier, Esq. (for), via <u>mxavier@princelobel.com</u>

USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov

October 13, 2023

President Joseph Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500

A Marxist (and Communist) "Equity-Based" Justice Directly And Fundamentally Subverts Our U.S. Constitution - A Meticulous Legal Proof

Dear President Biden,

I am writing about the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order, specifically its "Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance **equity for all**" clause.

As a legal immigrant, I had to take the Naturalization Test to become my current and proud U.S. citizen self. I spent earnest effort studying for it and believe I understood <u>that</u> "the 14th Amendment addresses many aspects of citizenship and the rights of citizens. The most commonly used phrase in the amendment is 'equal protection of the laws,' which figures prominently in a wide variety of landmark cases …"

In my now well-documented existential struggle against the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, I wrote to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Labor that "*I can now prove to the U.S. Supreme Court that a self-referencing (or recursive) 'equity for all' leads to a famous and fundamental paradox inherent in all the Marxist (and Communist) 'specially protect from others' divisive social engineering ideologies.*"

To substantiate my claims, I point to the most prominent voices for "**feminist equity**" of our times, to our former Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton. In a sign of the Secretary's most recent apparent civic incompetence, CNN reports that <u>Clinton calls for "formal deprogramming"</u> of masses of Americans.

Please note that I am a fiercely independent and apolitical patriot. I never judge based on any political affiliations. That is why Clinton's prior blatant incompetence, e.g., <u>"The Government Has No Business</u>" in abortion decisions. We are not Romania," is so damaging (and also outright discriminatory) to me.

As an actual Secretary of State, she bragged: "I've been to countries where governments either force women to have abortions like they used to do in China or forced women to bear children like they used *to do in Romania,* "while deceitfully reframing the simple political realities of a former <u>"Most Favored</u> <u>Nation</u>" for an incoherent (and forcefully deprogramming) political agenda based on "feminist equity."

My well-preserved legal struggle reflects that I was the ideal candidate for activist "reprogramming." The otherwise **deeply child-predatory** (for obscene profits) activist agenda was captured during trials in a Massachusetts Family Court: "*But don't you agree that he needs to accept his role in what happened to the children to understand how to change his behavior? [Activist feminist Harvard] GAL: Yes, I do."*

The identically same agenda-driven but **fake "Marxist empathy"** is being tragically played out on the international scene; see "[Sen.] Sanders calls Israel's siege on Gaza 'a serious violation of international law." The White House promptly reacted, "On Tuesday, Biden doubled down on that message, railing against the 'sheer evil,'" understanding that the Marxist objective is to create massive moral confusion.

In the context of the above Presidential Order, my meticulously documented legal matters highlight the intractable problems inherent in any Marxist "equity-based" justice: the need for arbitrarily prioritizing the "equities." In other words, does "feminist equity" (i.e., **"women never lie"**) trump one's "personal experiences" ultimate equity (e.g., "[Justice Thomas:] individuals are not the sum of their skin color")?

In the case of an "LGBTQ+" (but in actuality only driven to "maximize federal reimbursements" using innocent children) Massachusetts, our Constitutional civil rights and explicit federal antidiscrimination statutes always come secondary to any inherently contradictory and truly incoherent "feminist equities."

To solve <u>Russell's Paradox</u> (carelessly introduced by The White House by allowing the enumeration of the purposely non-inclusive LGBTQ+ alphabet soup of "specially protect from others" groups without ever mentioning the always inherently present "leftovers"), the above deliberately deceitful "equity for all" must be corrected to a mere **"equity for some,"** in direct contradiction with our U.S. Constitution.

Also, any such "Presidential Contradiction" must be solved lest our entire "rule of law" is invalidated as <u>"we can infer anything from a contradiction.</u>" Until then, the federal agencies must consider the inherent consequences of any "progressive" Marxist (and Communist) "equity-based" (but merely zero-sum, for forceful redistribution of wealth) justice, especially the fact that the naive enumeration of all "protected classes" leads to the implied creation of a new **"American Gulag"** for all the "leftover" Americans that cannot ever be "specially protected from others" and are therefore eternally silenced and also enslaved.

I am a proper representative "forced employee" of any such American Gulag "joint employer," as I work every day under the direct threat of detention without any compensation (or any protection) whatsoever.

As the consequences of the above Presidential Executive Order (effectively equivalent to mandating new "Jim Crow"-like segregation of Americans into "double protected with equity" and "unprotected with no equity at all" disjoint camps), the directly implied "American Gulag of leftovers" can be categorized only as a Clintonian-base for "forced deprogramming" of masses of Americans. Or something described just as well by the CCP's <u>"vocational education and training centers [the Xinjiang internment camps]."</u>

To summarize my legal controversy, see the attached Civil RICO Class Action Complaint and an outline of my second *pro se* Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court, the main points are:

- Robin Deutsch, Ph.D., Harvard Medical School: "If Mr. Kifor is to resume visitation..., it is suggested that the contact begin with supervised visits for one to two hours at a time, indefinitely, in a designated visitation center. Supervised visits are suggested due to the history of punitive behaviors and testing and interview data that suggest Mr. Kifor will not be amenable to mental health treatment."
- Robin Deutsch, Family Court trial testimony (after **973 errors** were substantiated in her GAL report): "Q. Is it fair to say when you said Dr. Olezeski did that testing that you were not physically present in the room when it was performed? A. Correct. Q. Can you tell me what level of experience Dr. Olezeski had in performing those battery of tests? ... What was her level of experience in performing those three tests at that time? A. Well, this was her postdoctoral fellowship year, so she had done probably ... Q. With the program, how often would she have done it? A. This is a guess, **probably 10 times**."
- Prof. Harold J. Bursztajn, MD, Harvard Medical School: "What follows is a report of my forensic neuropsychiatric examinations of Imre Kifor on April 30, May 14, May 25, June 4, and June 12, 2012, and my ongoing forensic neuropsychiatric evaluation of the discovery data forwarded to me to date with respect to Mr. Kifor's mental condition in relation to his functioning as a parent... I serve as an Associate Clinical Professor of Psychiatry, Founder of the Program in Psychiatry and the Law, and Principal Mentor for students at Harvard Medical School (HMS). I have more than 25 years of service as senior clinical faculty at HMS coupled with more than 30 years of experience in clinical and forensic practice as a psychiatrist... It is my current forensic neuropsychiatric opinion, which I hold to a reasonable degree of forensic neuropsychiatric certainty, that (1) Imre Kifor presents no danger to his children; (2) there is no indication of impairment of his fitness to parent."
- Family Court: "On December 5, 2013, the Court (Donnelly, J.) denied Father's request to submit additional evidence. The Court provided the following rationale: 'I specifically find that the value of any evidence received from mental health treaters is outweighed by the prejudice which would be supposed by the [Mother] in light of the [Father's] prior vigorous assertion of privilege and [the Mother's] inability to conduct discovery regarding such witness(es).""
- Father: "Mother's in limine motion alleges that 'Father is attempting to use his therapeutic treatment as both a shield and a sword by asserting privilege by his recent assertion of this claim in this action.' This cannot be further from the truth as evidenced by Father's timely emails."
- Father: "As the two mothers are using an allegedly faulty, biased, and incomplete GAL investigation by Dr. Deutsch to forcefully isolate Father from his children while also seemingly forcefully medicating a child into submission, Father has no choice but to relinquish both his attorney/client and therapist/patient privileges in order to protect all of his children."

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

Cc: Senator Elizabeth Warren (via <u>Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov</u>) Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>) <u>Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov</u> <u>USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov</u>

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **second** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

List of referenced links:

1. <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2023/02/16/executive-order-on-</u><u>further-advancing-racial-equity-and-support-for-underserved-communities-through-the-federal-government/</u>

"Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights. Agencies shall comprehensively use their respective civil rights authorities and offices to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all, including to increase the effects of civil rights enforcement and to increase public awareness of civil rights principles, consistent with applicable law."

2. <u>https://www.cnn.com/2023/06/29/politics/clarence-thomas-ketanji-brown-jackson-supreme-court-affirmative-action/index.html</u>

"Even in the segregated South where I grew up, individuals were not the sum of their skin color," [Justice Clarence] Thomas wrote. "While I am painfully aware of the social and economic ravages which have befallen my race and all who suffer discrimination," he added, "I hold out enduring hope that this country will live up to its principles so clearly enunciated in the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution of the United States: that all men are created equal, are equal citizens, and must be treated equally before the law."

- 3. <u>https://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2023/10/06/hillary-clinton-maga-cult-extremists-donald-trump-house-republicans-amanpour-cnntm-vpx.cnn</u>
- 4. <u>https://www.huffpost.com/entry/hillary-clinton-abortion-debate_n_5808457de4b0180a36e92518</u>
- 5. https://www.heritage.org/europe/report/why-romania-no-longer-deserves-be-most-favored-nation
- 6. <u>https://www.politico.com/news/2023/10/11/israel-hamas-bernie-sanders-00120957</u>
- 7. https://brilliant.org/wiki/russells-paradox/
- 8. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principle_of_explosion

November 19, 2023

President Joseph Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500

The White House capitulates on its promise to the American People with the deceitful "equity for all" Marxist agenda - a brazenly drastic scaling back of our "justice for all."

Dear President Biden,

I wrote to The White House about the 2/16/2023 Executive Order over a month ago. I claimed that "as the consequences of the [order] (effectively equivalent to mandating 'Jim Crow'-like segregation of Americans into 'double protected with equity' and 'unprotected with no equity at all' disjoint camps), the directly implied **'American Gulag of leftovers'** can be categorized only as a hateful <u>Clintonian-base</u> for 'forced deprogramming' of masses of Americans [, similar to the Chinese Xinjiang internment camps]."

Since then, through desperate *pro se* and *forma pauperis* efforts (meaning absolutely no assistance from professionals or the government), I was able to docket my simple citizen petition for a writ of certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court (No. 23-5932) and my new Civil RICO class action complaint against the Commonwealth of Massachusetts et al. with the U.S. District Court in Boston (No. 1:23-cv-12692-PBS).

In my now second SCOTUS petition, I raised the plain question: "The 'Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all' clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in <u>Russell's Paradox</u>, and it must be corrected as a logically unacceptable conclusion to a less deceitful 'equity for some.' Is the mandate to selectively 'advance equity' (for only some) Constitutional?" I have no doubts that the Court will deny my layperson petition.

Regardless, to still shore up some <u>Amicus Curiae</u> support for my petition (pointedly concerning the everloud "feminist equity" overriding everything in Massachusetts), I begged our *de facto* feminist leaders:

"My petition explicitly contrasts the fabricated feminist 'women never lie' equity with one's 'ultimate' equity, i.e., one's true 'identity,' that is, one's unique constitutionally protected personal experiences. In the context of our Constitution and our antidiscrimination statutes, it points to the need to prioritize all possible equities, even all arbitrarily made-up ones. But I am alone, i.e., infinitely weak, silenced, and enslaved, especially by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Being our de facto feminist leader, who solemnly swore to protect our Constitution, if you believe that the feminist 'women never lie' equity should not subvert our Constitution, please consider helping my petition with an Amicus Curiae filed with the Supreme Court."

The White House could also assist in clarifying to the Supreme Court that the Executive Order does not apply when the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court deliberately conceals a **never-contested federal mail fraud** (i.e., the Family Court, while obligated, never communicated crucial decisions and orders).

Without being privy to any decisions of the Massachusetts courts, I suddenly noticed that the previously secret docket entries of my three cases in the Family Court became public on 11/14/2023. I analyzed the revealed data and filed the attached status affidavit. As per the direction of the District Attorney's office, I contacted our Police Chief regarding a finally substantiated application for a RICO criminal complaint.

Why would a Family Court suddenly release all that verifiably false and deliberately fabricated content? I immediately emailed the parties with my first (emotional) reaction: "It is blatantly shocking that our innocent children's lives are determined based on such utter incompetence and incoherence." Marxist agendas, including the simplistic "equity-based" justice, are about limiting capacity. That is, restricting a state's expended resources to pretend to accomplish the promises. This is a uniquely unAmerican trait.

I can only speculate about the intentions of the Family Court (or the Commonwealth of Massachusetts). With my in-arrears child support obligations now at the impossible \$360,000+ level and my desperate job applications soon reaching 1,800+ compliant submissions, these matters have transcended from a "feminist" problem (i.e., between the two sexes) to a deeply seated **genuine social injustice** problem.

I filed parallel Complaints For Protection From Abuse (G.L.c. 209A), or requests for restraining orders, with the Family Court on 11/9/2023. In them, I concluded that the millionaire "Whole Foods cashier" mother of my now 19-year-old twins does not need (nor want) me around. I also witnessed her having a Massachusetts gun license and gun. However, the mother of my siblings (my youngest one is still only 12 years old) has relied on my significant financial support since the 2/13/2014 Family Court judgment.

Both mothers have been dishonest with the police, courts, etc. A leading female psychiatrist professor at Harvard Medical School characterized them as **"very dangerous borderlines"** and "you have no idea what they are capable of." From a decidedly "male" (e.g., simplistic, naive, and a mere layman father) perspective, I translate this to <u>"quite intelligent women wasting tremendous potential by being able to think through problems but not capable of implementing any solutions"</u> (see the attached link below).

In my third SCOTUS petition, and the context of the falsified docket entries, my inquiry boils down to a binary decision between "equity for rich" v. "equity for poor" mother as "equity for all" is impossible by Marxist design. Appealing from a federal adjudicatory body, the Presidential Executive Order is binding.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my **third** *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General (via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>) Sen. Elizabeth Warren (via <u>Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov</u>) Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (via <u>AOC.press@mail.house.gov</u>) American Civil Liberties Union (via <u>legalresources@aclum.org</u>) Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov

List of referenced links:

- 1. Hillary Clinton's "formal deprogramming": <u>https://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2023/10/06/hillary-</u> clinton-maga-cult-extremists-donald-trump-house-republicans-amanpour-cnntm-vpx.cnn
- Jordan Peterson Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD): <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?</u>
 <u>v=TNQQIRKLPHs</u>

January 1, 2024

President Joseph Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Biden,

In my previous open letter to the White House, dated November 19, 2023, I indicated my intentions to submit my third *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petition for a writ of certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court.

My petition was duly docketed on December 26, 2023, as No. 23-6398 with the following question:

1. The "Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all" clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in the predictable "equity for the rich or equity for the poor mother?" dilemma as "equity for all" is impossible by Marxist design. Is the mandate to selectively "advance equity" (for a select few) Constitutional?

As a brainwashed "communist child," I was forced to study and give consideration to Marxism. Living with the "social construct," I also concluded that the defining feature of Marxism is that the State is tasked to "specially protect from others" selectively instead of "equally protect rights," but universally.

Sharpening the "equity for the rich or equity for the poor mother?" dilemma posed to the U.S. Supreme Court, the Family Court hearing in my matters on December 12, 2023, provided the sad testimony that my younger 14 and 12-year-old children **feel utterly "fatherless."** I have no reason to doubt my dear children's feelings. Moreover, any regular American, i.e., without any "Marxist experiences," would immediately fault the "deadbeat" father, exactly as the U.S. District Court did in response to my filings.

Just as the tens of millions of non-Americans (who cruelly suffered and outright perished through the "weaponized psychology" of Marxism and Communism) can readily confirm that Marxism is not what politicians are so intent on portraying to be, my younger children's indirect testimony proves my claims.

I immediately followed up the Family Court hearing with my attached Emergency Petition To Correct And Prevent Ongoing Errors to the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ("SJC"). Marxism is driven exclusively by money. I asserted to our SJC that "equity for all" is impossible by Marxist design as the crudely destructive redistribution of already existing wealth is the fundamental objective of the social construct and not the construction of (any) new wealth. To summarize my logic, transcending from mere "dollar wealth" to my dear children's "equity" (now ruthlessly stolen by the State), here are the facts:

- 1. I have never communicated with my younger children without the State's supervision,
- 2. The State has never raised a complaint against me during my **500**+ supervised visits with them,
- 3. I have repeatedly complained to the State about the forceful supervision's activist political agenda,
- 4. I have never abandoned my children. Despite my **1,360+** calls to them, they could never respond.

Simplifying the matters to their very core, I can now unequivocally conclude that the abusive and childpredatory controversy has nothing to do with any of my specific personal facts. Initially, I was identified as an "ignorant immigrant" who happened to be lucky enough to sell his software. The State fabricated a "high conflict" divorce for me without considering my specific facts. The "feminist equity" (of shielding the millionaire mother for later endless extortion) had to be protected, and the State relied extensively on **prohibited RICO activities**, i.e., obstruction, retaliation & mail/wire fraud, to conceal my specific facts.

The only purpose of any Marxist "equity" is to conveniently (and without any obstacles, like legal restraints) transfer already established facts of any individual to all other members of that same group.

As per feminist equity, the custom-fabricated high-conflict "facts" in the millionaire mother's case were fraudulently transferred by the State to the "poor" mother. Supervision of all of my visits, with even my newborn daughter, was ordered with purely abusive and **deeply child-predatory motives** (the countless cruel lawyers were set to collect millions of dollars in "legal fees" in the also profiteering Family Court).

Predictably, as Marxist ideas are grossly inadequate for any honest "rule of law"-based justice, not even the activist "feminist equity" can morph a millionaire's mother's equity into any poor mother's. And especially not into the poor mother's poor children's equity. While "fatherlessness" is meaningless for the now 65-year-old millionaire mother, it is crucially meaningful for my minor children as **"extreme parental alienation should be considered emotional child abuse and referred criminally."**¹

In my previous open letter, I mentioned my *pro se* Civil RICO Class Action Complaint, 1:23-cv-12692-PBS. Seemingly as retaliation, the substantiated complaint was again summarily dismissed with 16 direct misrepresentations of my specific facts. I took my second chance to formally (see attached) ask:

2. Does sovereign immunity apply to an "LGBTQ+" Massachusetts when using federal funds to subsidize the forceful separation and activist-agenda-driven alienation of innocent American children from their loving American parents?

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor², Pro Se

¹ See at <u>https://www.ncsc.org/ data/assets/pdf file/0014/42152/parental alienation Lewis.pdf</u>

² Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as a status affidavit in support of my *pro se* and *forma pauperis* petitions for a writ of certiorari, Nos. 23-5932 and 23-6398, to the U.S. Supreme Court.

January 26, 2024

President Joseph Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500

Agenda-Driven Statutory Discriminations And Retaliations Are "Prisoner-Like" Segregations

Dear President Biden,

In my previous open letter to The White House (and affidavit to the U.S. Supreme Court), I claimed on 1/1/2024 that Marxist equity-based ideas are grossly inadequate for any honest "rule of the law"-based justice, as not even activist feminism can morph a millionaire mother's equity into that of a poor mother.

Most importantly, I meticulously documented in my letter that while "fatherlessness" is meaningless for a now 65-year-old millionaire mother, it is crucially meaningful for my still minor but very dear children as "extreme parental alienation should be considered emotional child abuse and referred criminally."

Moreover, I reported to the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court on 1/14/2024 that "conclusive proof for the State deploying forced separation and extreme parental alienation of children as sustained statutory (G.L.c. 151B) retaliations came only on 1/10/2024 when the Probation Officer confirmed to me upon the Family Court orders that my minor children felt so alienated and so utterly 'fatherless' that they wanted to change even their names. These are my 'State-owned' children who never had contact with their loving father outside of the agenda-driven and deliberately retaliatory 'supervised visitations.""

Through over 1,400 pages of diligently filed evidence, I have substantiated that agenda-driven statutory (M.G.L.c. 151B) discriminations and retaliations are behind the State's stubborn efforts to conceal and obstruct the existentially destructive effects of just such Marxist "equity-based justice" (see attached).

Due to the fast turn of events, I have now docketed the appeal of my Civil RICO class action complaint with the U.S. Court of Appeals (see attached) with the intent to reiterate the troubling federal question:

Does sovereign immunity apply to an "LGBTQ+" Massachusetts when using federal funds to subsidize the forceful separation and activist agenda-driven alienation of innocent American children from their loving American parents?

In my previous open letter, I indicated that my meticulously substantiated complaint in the U.S. District Court was summarily dismissed with 16 direct misrepresentations of my relevant facts. Among other things, I specifically claimed that "as the consequences of the Presidential Executive Order (effectively equivalent to mandating new **'Jim Crow'-like segregation of Americans** into 'double protected with equity' and 'unprotected with no equity at all' disjoint camps), the directly implied 'American Gulag Of Leftovers' can be categorized only as a base for the new 'forced deprogramming' of the masses."

The *sua sponte* dismissal immediately targeted & banished even the possibility of any existence of such an "American Gulag Of Leftovers." Moreover, the District Court flatly asserted that "*Kifor cannot fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class that he has identified*" while also acknowledging that I was a forcedly indigent *pro se* individual who had expressly waived his attorney-client privileges to specifically protect his children from the allowed predatory practices of prior million-dollar attorneys.

The **silencing and enslaving intent** behind the dismissal is stated unambiguously: "Here Kifor has filed several unsuccessful lawsuits with allegations arising out of the same or similar events against identical or substantially similar parties. Nonetheless, the dismissal of his earlier actions has not deterred Kifor from again filing suit. Kifor's conduct rises above the level of litigiousness and qualifies as vexatious. His repeated filing of lawsuits concerning his family court matters is an abuse of the process."

My complaint was dismissed by referring to a "gatekeeper" statute written specifically for "prisoners." As I have not committed any crimes, have never been convicted, and have never been a prisoner, I immediately objected to the court's **"prisoner-like" (but purely group-identity-based) segregation**.

The court justified on 1/22/2024 that "To the extent plaintiff states that the in forma pauperis statute is limited to prisoners, plaintiff misunderstands the scope of cases to which this statute applies due to a clerical error in the statute. Under federal law, a court may authorize the commencement of any suit without prepayment of fees or security therefore, by a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such prisoner possesses... The use of the word prisoner in 28 U.S.C. 1915 (a)(1) appears to be a typographical error" (see attached). Yet, the same statute also duly clarifies that "28 U.S.C. § 1915 (h): As used in this section, the term 'prisoner' means any person incarcerated or detained in any facility who is accused of, convicted of, sentenced for, or adjudicated delinquent for, violations of criminal law or the terms and conditions of parole, probation, pretrial release, or diversionary program."

Backed by my personal experiences, I reiterated in my petition No. 23-5932 to the U.S. Supreme Court that "In Marxism, ambiguity and inconsistency were unsurprisingly essential: 'It's on purpose! The laws are unclear for a reason. Because everybody is a criminal. So anybody can be arrested at any moment ... They've always violated something because the laws are badly written, and they seem to be written that way on purpose," (see <u>The Gulag: What We Know Now and Why It Matters</u> at 1:19:11 to 1:21:10). In my **"unprotected with no equity at all"** desperate defense, I will now submit my attached "Complaint Against State-Endorsed 'Prisoner-Like' Segregations Per 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131–12134" to the DOJ.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit in support of my petition for a writ of certiorari, No. 23-6398, to the Supreme Court and my appeal, No. 24-1075, to the Court of Appeals For the First Circuit.

January 14, 2024

Francis V. Kenneally, Clerk Supreme Judicial Court John Adams Courthouse One Pemberton Sq., Suite 1400 Boston, MA 02108-1724 SJCCommClerk@sjc.state.ma.us Maura S. Doyle, Clerk SJC for The County of Suffolk John Adams Courthouse One Pemberton Sq., Suite 1300 Boston, MA 02108-1707 newsjcsinglejusticecase@jud.state.ma.us

SUBMITTED FOR: Kifor v. The Commonwealth of Mass. et al. - SJ-2023-M014

Dear Clerks Kenneally and Doyle,

On 12/18/2023, I submitted my motion for leave and petition to the Supreme Judicial Court. Conclusive proof for the State deploying forced separation and extreme parental alienation of children as sustained statutory (G.L.c. 151B) retaliations came only on 1/10/2024 when the Probation Officer confirmed to me upon the Family Court orders that my minor children felt so alienated and so utterly "fatherless" that they wanted to change even their names. These are my "State-owned" children who never had contact with their loving father outside of the agenda-driven and deliberately retaliatory "supervised visitations."

To substantiate my comprehensive G.L. c. 151B claims, I would like to extend my petition with the attached:

1. Imre Kifor's Status Affidavit And Memorandum Of Law On Continued Systemic Discriminations And Retaliations and exhibits.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Ying Mo, Esq., EEOC Project Coordinator, MCAD, via <u>EEOCWeight@state.ma.us</u> Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u> Michael G. Xavier, Esq. (for via <u>mxavier@princelobel.com</u>

June 2, 2024

President Joseph Biden The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500 Vice President Kamala Harris The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20500 Elizabeth Warren, U.S. Senator for Massachusetts 309 Hart Senate OB Washington, DC 20510

Meticulous evidence for the deliberate Marxist subversion of our "innocent until proven guilty" cardinal principle into a Stalinist "guilty until proven innocent" discriminating tyranny

Dear President Biden, Vice President Harris, and U.S. Senator Warren,

As a fiercely apolitical immigrant and a loving "simple" father, I have been writing to The White House regarding the 2/16/2023 Executive Order. I have been repeatedly claiming that "as the consequences of the [order] (effectively equivalent to mandating **'Jim Crow'-like** segregation of Americans into 'double protected with equity' and 'unprotected with no equity at all' disjoint camps), the directly implied **'American Gulag of leftovers'** can be categorized only as a hateful <u>Clintonian-base</u> for 'forced deprogramming' of masses of Americans [, similar to the Chinese Xinjiang internment camps]."

I justified my claims (that the Presidential Order has a crucial and fundamental **logical fallacy**) in my three docketed *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* SCOTUS petitions by substantiating my repeated layman (but also legal) question: "The 'Sec. 8. Affirmatively Advancing Civil Rights ... to prevent and address discrimination and advance equity for all' clause of the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order results in <u>Russell's Paradox</u>, and it must be corrected as a logically unacceptable conclusion to a less deceitful 'equity for some.' Is the mandate to selectively 'advance equity' (for only some) Constitutional?"

Moreover, in my appellants' brief filed with the U.S. Court Of Appeals For The First Circuit, No. 24-1075, I summarized my arguments on 3/3/2024: "1) The Issue Of 'Equity For All': To resolve the flaw in deductive logic carelessly introduced by [President Biden] **naively enumerating** the purposely noninclusive 'LGBTQ+' alphabet soup of 'specially protect from others' groups without mentioning the always inherently present 'leftovers,' i.e., Russell's Paradox, the deceitful 'equity for all' promise of the Executive Order must be corrected to a mere 'equity for a chosen some' in contradiction with the U.S. Constitution, 2) The Issue Of Sovereign Immunity: After growing up as a hated minority in a communist tyranny, **[this father] sympathizes with all minorities**. Therefore, the 'LGBTQ+' label used herein refers to [my] already elaborated claim that 'as the consequences of the Executive Order (effectively equivalent to mandating new 'Jim Crow'-like segregation of Americans... [see my above paragraph]. 3) The Issue Of 'Dogmatic Interplay': In his petition, [this father] substantiated the controversy from the lower courts as a 'dogmatic interplay' to subvert justice based on individual merit and replace it with justice based on [simplistic], efficient, and convenient but also **entirely superficial 'group identity.'**"

My SCOTUS petitions have been denied. However, unrelatedly, the Supreme Court also reminded me of the governing law: "In reply to your letter or submission, received January 11, 2024, I regret to inform you that the Court is unable to assist you in the matter you present. Under Article III of the Constitution, the jurisdiction of this Court extends only to the consideration of cases or controversies properly brought before it from lower courts in accordance with federal law and filed pursuant to the Rules of this Court."

In the meantime, my cases in state and federal courts have progressed. To summarize the controversy, all the courts (over 50+ dockets) have held me GUILTY of "willingly" not paying child support for my four dear children (my combined in-arrears obligations have reached **\$390,000+** starting from \$0 in 2018).

While I have voluntarily submitted all my comprehensive & meticulously maintained financial records, accounting for every penny I spent since my divorce in 2008, the basis for the courts' Marxist "equity-based" assumption of my **"attributed guilt"** had been the repeatedly made deceptions by the attorneys:

"If there has been any fraud perpetrated, it has been by [him]. It is likely that [he] has hidden his assets and money and perhaps with his parents who, upon information and belief, have returned to Romania, where they previously enjoyed an upper-class lifestyle and continue to own property. By so hiding his assets, [he] has essentially made himself judgment-proof so that any professional who may be harmed by his false allegations against them, if published on the internet, will have no recourse from him for the harm they may suffer."

In my now 500+ letters to top government officials, I have extensively documented that my family and I were granted political asylum by the U.S. and arrived in NYC on 5/12/1986. The tyrannical Ceausescu regime allowed us, the clearly **"not Romanian"** hated minorities, to emigrate finally after (allegedly) our names were listed by then Sec. George Shultz during his visit to Bucharest in December of 1985.

Immediately after the visit, the Romanian state ordered us out of the country with only two suitcases per person. We were forced to sell all our belongings and worldly possessions, barely affording the 3 PanAm tickets. My family and I do not own property in Romania, we have not returned to Romania, and I don't hide anything. However, how do you **prove the negative** to the courts when they insist that "All other requested relief [by father] fails to be supported by facts that are not merely speculative and conclusory."

I relentlessly complained even to the Massachusetts Probate And Family Court's Chief Justice John D. Casey (see attached) about the state's profiteering "program" to **steal federal reimbursements** (see my federal Civil RICO class action complaint) by intentionally implementing and enforcing institutionalized and extreme child abuse and also ruthless ongoing torture on the youngsters of our divorced parents (see "extreme parental alienation should be considered emotional child abuse and referred criminally").

The "Cafazzo" Family Court ordered this **forcedly indigent** father to jail on 10/21/2019 as an immediate retaliation for my "Is Mass. Chief Justice leveraging, torturing, and abusing innocent children?" open

letter sent just a day prior. Per the hearing transcript, the exchange was direct and to the point: "COURT: So, do you have any money to pay? FATHER: Your Honor, I don't. COURT: Take him into custody."

Concluding my meticulous evidence for the Presidential Executive Order deliberately subverting our all-American cardinal principles, the non-Cafazzo Family Court ordered on 2/26/2024 that "The Court finds Defendant [i.e., this father] does not have a present ability to pay, and therefore finds Defendant **NOT GUILTY of contempt**" and backdated it to 1/21/2022. Moreover, on 4/20/2024, the same Court finally allowed me to download the secret (and Marxist equity-based) "gatekeeper" order issued on 12/5/2013.

Substantiating my allegations of committed mail fraud, intentional falsifying of court dockets (to protect them from any appellate reviews and possible federal CSE reimbursement-related penalties), and "clear abuses of judicial discretion," I submitted my "Motions For Relief From Judgments Pursuant To Rule 60 Fraud On The Court" to my 8 dockets with the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court on 5/28/2024:

"The significance of the [12/5/2013] order, especially its 10+ years-long secrecy, is that it kept the committed discriminations based on sex and national origin concealed and effectively protected from appellate reviews. Moreover, it also allowed Family Court to sanitize the dockets, as none of Father's 'merely speculative' filings were docketed... To purposely conceal the falsified dockets (as the secret 'gatekeeper' order deliberately erased from the dockets a *pro se* Father's duly submitted whistleblower complaint of attorneys knowingly 'prompting mothers to lie under oath during trials and hide over 4 million dollars of net worth'), Family Court continued to rely on baseless, maliciously false, and stereotypical fabrications advanced by attorneys starting on 2/6 and 7/23/2018: 'It is believed that [he] has hidden assets with his parents who have returned to Romania.'"

Therefore, I am prepared to argue in court that the Executive Order is literally hacking our "too good" American "constitutional rule of the law" with **ambiguity and inconsistency**-inducing Marxist "equity-based" pure deceptions, trivial logical fallacies, and obscene profiteering "LGBTQ+" loopholes for the most ruthless "elite" child predators, the "million-dollar" trusted lawyers, allowed to commit perjury and subornation of perjury incessantly on our emotionally vulnerable mothers and children in Family Courts.

To strictly adhere to the governing law, I will immediately attempt to amend my new EEOC inquiry (see attached) to include **The White House et al.** as the principal Respondents for my discrimination charge.

On behalf of all those legal (and the now millions of illegal, mostly male) immigrants (i.e., discriminated against based on national origin) who are strictly not-"LGBTQ+" (i.e., discriminated against based on sex and gender as "mere leftovers") divorced fathers targeted for the easily maximized, in the millions of dollars, federal reimbursement producing "state income" as non-custodial parents, I will claim that the 2/16/2023 Presidential Executive Order, specifically its **"equity for all" deception and logical fallacy**, deliberately violates and overrides Title VI/VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d/e, *et seq.*) by knowingly subverting federal law with ambiguity and inconsistency inducing "group identities."

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit (in support of my third EEOC Inquiry for discrimination and subsequent retaliations and all my current and future state and federal court cases).

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u> <u>Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov</u> <u>USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov</u>

Referenced Links:

- 1. <u>https://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2023/10/06/hillary-clinton-maga-cult-extremists-donald-trump-house-republicans-amanpour-cnntm-vpx.cnn</u>,
- 2. https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/russell-paradox/,
- 3. https://www.ncsc.org/__data/assets/pdf_file/0014/42152/parental_alienation_Lewis.pdf,

Imre Kifor 2 Wyndcliff Dr. Acton, MA 01720 <u>https://qnarre.com</u> and also <u>https://femfas.net</u> ikifor@gmail.com

October 20, 2019

Chief Justice Hon. John D. Casey Probate and Family Court John Adams Courthouse 1 Pemberton Sq., Mezzanine Boston, MA 02108

Is Mass. Chief Justice leveraging, torturing and abusing innocent children?

Dear Respected Chief Justice Hon. John D. Casey,

I am publicly writing to you once again before the now **14th hearing in a row**, in just the last year or so, regarding our massive, multi-million dollar parallel lawsuits, directly targeting and cruelly victimizing four children. I am forwarding this open letter to our elected officials and publishing it at the link above.

On June 13, 2019, after a *Hasty, Communist-Style "Show Trial" in Cambridge, Mass.* (see <u>https://</u>femfas.net), a newly appointed Judge Cafazzo ordered that **"Father agrees not to contact the children in any manner, phone, mail, in person, social media, or video conferencing."** As I never have, nor would I ever agree to abandon any of my dear children in any manner, I immediately appealed the false, deeply fraudulent, and cruelly child-abusive judgment. In my above indicated open letter to First Justice Monks, I outlined **31 documented reasons** behind my layman's effort to start the appeal process.

Judge Cafazzo, as a trainee, was present at the hearing on February 20, 2019, with then Associate Justice Monks presiding. She witnessed the submittal of my 6 core motions and 256 pages of past and current affidavits to the judge. On April 24, 2019, we were in the now First Justice Monks courtroom when our case was suddenly, midday, transferred to Judge Cafazzo. Seemingly our matter had been the first one assigned to her, as the new courtroom was still sealed and empty when we arrived. I learned later, from Gov. Baker's January 9, 2019, press release, that she had been "*currently working in concert with Chief Justice John D. Casey of the Probate and Family Court to implement the team's recommendations.*"

Starting with my first letter, dated August 13, 2018, I have sent you countless, perhaps emotional letters regarding my troubling matters in the Cambridge Family Court. As a layman and genuine outsider, I cannot possibly appreciate all the much-respected intricacies behind administering the Family Courts, and I apologize for my now reflectively accepted ignorance. It was reassuring for me, however, when I received the March 6, 2019, letter, with your name on it, clarifying that *"the Administrative Office of the Probate and Family Court cannot… discuss the specifics of a pending case with the presiding judge"* and that *"All employees of the Probate and Family Court must remain neutral and impartial."*

I have no reason to believe that the above mentioned "recommendations" of your respected team, such as Judge Cafazzo is actively "implementing" at the Cambridge Family Court, include anything related to using, torturing and abusing innocent children. Falsely ordering a loving, dedicated and concerned father to **"not contact his children in any manner,"** is nevertheless just that, especially considering the full documented context of the monster parallel lawsuits and the ongoing cruel, institutionalized child abuse.

The Court has repeatedly and stubbornly refused to allow any of the highly regarded "mental health" experts from Harvard Medical School to testify, and to perhaps effectively refute the deliberate childabusive fabrications of **Dr. Robin Deutsch**, the corrupt 400-times "superstar" GAL. Not permitting a perhaps trivial "mental health" checkup by medical doctors seems to hide a coverup, as the new judge effectively silenced a "whistleblower" father by preemptively denying any of my pleadings. She also refused to consider the accepted appeal notice by denying my now third emergency motion for a stay.

The ruthlessly deceiving and bullying attorneys in the matters have now scheduled yet another harassing contempt hearing for tomorrow. The only material change since the last hearing on August 5, 2019, is that I have been innocently and unsuccessfully attempting to contact all my four children equally, 28 times so far. It seems evident that a loving and never violent father will "finally" be incarcerated and forcefully silenced for desperately signaling his children that **he has not and will never abandon them**.

I do not doubt that your respected team's recommendations at the Probate and Family Court do not align with the documented alarming actions at the Cambridge Family Court. My just filed *Father's Response Motion And Renewed Call To Sanction Attorneys,* along with my email evidence and the attached second complaint to the BBO, regarding the bullying lawyers' ruthless shenanigans, perhaps could help.

The BBO's seemingly circular but prompt response to my first complaint of June 16, 2019, was, "*The issues you raise should be decided in the first instance by the probate court where the matter is pending. If you raise your concerns in that forum and the court issues a finding that an attorney has engaged in improper conduct, please bring this matter to our attention again at that time.*"

I have done my layman's homework, and I will file the *"Appellate Procedure 6 Motion to Stay"*, as soon as I can get to the Appeals Court, for the Family Court's flatly denied emergency pleading to allow my children to know that their loving, caring, and concerned father still exists, and has not forgotten them.

Respectfully,

Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Chief Justice Hon. Paula M. Carey, Trial Court Chief Justice Hon. Mark V. Green, Appeals Court Imre Kifor 2 Wyndcliff Dr. Acton, MA 01720 <u>https://femfas.net</u> and <u>https://qnarre.com</u> ikifor@gmail.com

Mailed September 13, 2020

Sen. Elizabeth Warren"Fight for Middle Class Families"309 Hart Senate Office BuildingWashington, DC 20510

99 US Senators US Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Sen. Warren: "Arbeit Macht Frei" (Nazi Auschwitz) - And PLEASE RESIGN

This is my **14th** attempt to openly communicate with you regarding my and my four innocent children's dire "legal" situation and truly alarming years-long financial and emotional living conditions in your "progressive" state of today's Massachusetts. I have repeatedly stated to you in the past that I was a deeply apolitical, hard-working, and highly-educated legal immigrant from a former communist tyranny, accepted to the US for political reasons in 1986. As a "dreamer," who literally escaped to America with hope and ambitions, I fall squarely into that **"immigrants are ignorant"** category, that perhaps your students, the high-powered Harvard Law attorneys, seem to be targeting with greedy "feminist" delight.

Without repeating the contents of the countless letters and emails I sent you and other public officials, see <u>https://femfas.net</u> for snapshots and links, suffice it to say that I am a loving, caring and dedicated father. I also personally witnessed the Harvard Law attorney's, Monroe Inker's, deeply child abusive "schemes" at work. By citing the ruthless Inker's, the **"Father of Mass. Divorce Law's"** cruel edicts, our Appeals Court just recently denied again my desperate attempts to rectify this monstrous legal mess.

The Boston Globe wrote "Monroe Inker, the man, is slight, stooped at the middle... In a half-century of mucking around in the slop of failed Mass. marriages, he's been called a "cobra," accused of being overly aggressive..." As a little weak man with a superego and deep antisocial tendencies, the hallmarks of predatory "feminists", he was using gullible rich women to ambush other men, through their children.

As I wrote to you, I personally witnessed this monster facilitating the forceful "relocation" of a little boy through 7 school districts during his first 9 years of schooling. The "progressive" Inker "fought hard" for the **"liberty of women,"** i.e. enticing them to move again and again and again. With the eager collusion of our Family Courts, Inker made ~\$300K at the expense of that little boy, whose only fault was **crying in the dark, "I want my Daddy, I want my Daddy"**. His desperate father, driven into hopeless debt, finally gave up on his only son, as most stereotypical American men do, and moved to Florida for good.

Glancing at the news just last week, I read, "I don't think that there should be any debates," Pelosi told reporters. "I do not think that the president of the United States has comported himself in a way that anybody has any association with truth, evidence, data and facts... I think that he'll probably act in a

way that is beneath the dignity of the presidency, " she said, citing what she called his "disgraceful" actions during the 2016 debates with former Sec. of State Hillary Clinton. Coincidentally, that seems to be the stance of the Cambridge Family Court as well: **no "debates" allowed**, e.g. any witnesses or any evidence supporting a father's claims must be ignored, neglected, blocked, denied, rejected and banned.

Accordingly, I wrote to you 2 months ago regarding that disastrous Clinton debate (see online). Just as recent national reporting documents the origin of the "Romanian Orphans" once again, the "supreme top scientist" of that land, the "unschooled-peasant-to-Ph.D.-in-a-blink" hero, and most powerful wife of a dictator, **Dr. Elena Ceausescu, Ph.D.,** was the "Mother" of the strictest anti-abortion laws of humanity.

It was also in the news recently that, "We've got to get real economic relief into women's hands now", Biden reportedly said, later saying that "**women hold up half the sky**." That famous quote from Mao Zedong was also frequently used by the Ceausescus, as us, Romanian "communist" children, grew up with the notion that both "**mothers and fathers were equal** workers." Anyone with any knowledge of socialism or communism understands that "feminism" is meaningless in those "workers only" societies.

Yet, a US Sec. of State, Hillary Clinton, still went in front of the nation, and bringing up only Russia and Romania in that "disgraceful" presidential debate in 2016, falsely claimed that somehow the most horrific recent crime against masses of children, the widely publicized "Romanian Orphans" tragedy, was because of anti-feminism, patriarchy, misogyny, or "toxic masculinity." It is hard to believe that Clinton did not know that the "Romanian Orphans" were due to the ruthless political aspirations of the scared dictator, **and his at least equally powerful wife**, for the ever so important "**popular vote**."

A glance at the logbooks of prior US Secretaries' of State past travels show another Sec. of State, George Shultz, having traveled to see the Ceausescus in December of 1985, "Shultz "very fully laid out" the mood in Congress and said that unless Romania changes its human rights policy, the lawmakers are likely to cancel Bucharest's most-favored-nation trade status". Hillary Clinton shamelessly attempted to confuse, or hijack, a politically induced nationalist "demographic growth" with a feminist-only agenda.

As fate would have it, Sec. Shultz also seemingly brought a letter to the Ceausescus with ~200 names. Allegedly my mother, my sister and I were listed on that letter, and after years of systemic torture, the Romanian communists ordered us to immediately leave the country as non-Romanians. Both Hungary and W. Germany had rejected us by that time, as non-Hungarians, despite being native speakers, and non-Germans, despite the birth certificates of ancestors. The US, however, has legally accepted us on our celebrated May 12, 1986, in NYC, by handing us "green cards" upon landing at Kennedy Airport.

While desperately protecting my dear children from the Massachusetts state-sponsored "feminist" supervised visitation program, that vividly reminded me week after week of the ruthless, cruel torturing that "communist children" had to endure for purely political gains of the elite, I wrote (see online): ["Mother of Supervised Visitation"] Ms. Brice is a more "sophisticated" activist. She publicly shamed my 11 year old boy for somehow, childishly preferring Sen. Sanders as opposed to Sec. Clinton during the election "That old white guy will never be elected... He is weak... It is time for a woman president... Girl power all the way". And she leaned down to my very uncomfortable little son with a strong "Future is Female". My little children didn't dare to say more than a "but Clinton never talks about boys".

Spinning the disgraceful 2016 national debate was immediate, "*Nasty women are tough*," Warren said. "**Nasty women are smart,** and nasty women vote." Yet, on March 4, 2020, the NYT wrote: "*Senator Elizabeth Warren, who last summer rose to the top of polls in several presidential primary states, on Tuesday reached the nadir of her bid for the White House: a third-place finish in her home state."*

I befriended a boy in elementary school in communist Romania. I vividly remember his name: Szabo Karcsi. While his family lost everything during the "revolution", on the books his ancestors were still members of the "bourgeoisie". For my little friend that was a deadly crime, the communists frequently implied that **"his little life did not matter,"** a routine excuse, see my email *Dear "Biden Press": The "Elites" Are Ripping Our American Children Apart*. Also, his father was a doctor at the Medical School.

And the doctor secretly listened to Radio Free Europe nightly. Unfortunately, the "call sign" of that most successful American psychology "experiment" was so addictive, that little children would pick up the melody instantaneously. My friend did the same, and once he inadvertently whistled it in school. When the school officials interrogated him, he innocently told the "caring" adults, "*my dad's radio plays it.*" His father, **without any violence and without any notice at all**, simply disappeared a few days later. Inspired by the Soviet Gulags, "*overall, one million Romanians had been imprisoned in various prisons and labor camps, including the Danube–Black Sea Canal,*" wrote NY University professor Tony Judt.

Any decent human being, understanding the clear boundaries between good and evil, will find Sen. Warren's latest "sneaky little message," see attached, deeply troubling. I have not been able to find any other senator so brazenly targeting, and manipulating our little innocent children with her all engrossing political ambitions and hatred for "whiteness / toxic masculinity." Unless the Senator, a woman and a mother, can clearly state that, **when it comes to children**, **ALL LIVES MATTER**, she should resign.

Politicians, who have decided that one child's life mattered more than another's, ultimately created the attached purely "white" tragedy. Not even a former Harvard Law professor, and so sudden BLM activist, should be allowed to make those "sneaky little... well played!" stunts, while broadcasting to the nation.

But Sen. Warren's aggressive, all-or-nothing activism, while seemingly "progressive" and "feminist", is anything but. The "progressive" agenda of **stealing hapless children from their parents and forcefully brainwashing them** for the "greater good," is in fact regressive, "*Janissaries began as elite corps made up through the devsirme system of tribute, by which young Christian boys, notably Albanians, Bosnians, Bulgarians, Croats, Greeks and Serbs, were taken from the Balkans, enslaved and converted to Islam, and incorporated into the Ottoman army*", <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Janissary</u>. The currently attempted US cultural genocide, also called **"cancel culture,"** cannot easily "whitewash" these 500+ years old "white" threats, as the latest bloody European war, the Yugoslav Wars, are all rooted in them.

Yet Sen. Warren silently stands behind the **"Dr. Deutsch, An American Dr. Mengele From Harvard"** brazen "elite" experiment for current "cultural genocide" of our hapless children. The "super star" GAL, while deliberately violating GAL rules and shamelessly lying to the Family Court, claimed "According to Mr. Kifor, his father moved to the United States to take a teaching position at Harvard University in 1982. Mr. Kifor, his mother and sister remained in Romania at that time. Mr. Kifor immigrated to the United States in 1986 and his mother joined him when she retired from her practice in 2004."

As part of the leadership of the **American Psychological Association**, etc., the truly monster doctor's ruthless intention was to bully and cram the cruel predatory "feminist" agenda through the Cambridge Family Court, and officially steal the children, by proving, as an elite "Harvard psychologist," that the children's parents were "inadequate," themselves being raised by "strict," i.e. primitive, and "uncaring" parents, specifically a "*Romanian mother, who valued her practice more than being with her children*."

As always, these sort of "activist" nasty manipulations and shameless, under-oath lies in Court, affect and damage the victims "intended" to protect the most. Dr. Deutsch's actions, **and Sen. Warren's tacit supportive collusion with the "feminist comrade,**" is hurting hard working, highly educated, and highly appreciated - by none other than the *Hersey Professor of the Theory and Practice of Medicine of Harvard Medical School* and *Chairman of Brigham And Women's Hospital* - women, my dear Mother.

The attached letter from Prof. Braunwald, MD, was dated November 22, 1994 as **my mother had been with us, her children, all along**. And as a true scientist, a prolific woman scientist, the count of her verifiable publications is 71, citations are 8,827, and highly influential citations are 301. Searching for "Dr. Robin Deutsch, Ph.D.", gives us 4 publications and 46 citations... but she is still the self-appointed megalomaniac "*Director, Center for Excellence of Children*"... exactly like Dr. Elena Ceausescu, Ph.D.

On the other side of this cruel, deeply anti-social "activist" spectrum, other women, and mothers, are being forever haunted by Dr. Deutsch's court-filed, **900+ meticulously documented deliberate lies and deceptions**, as part of my 100+ pages affidavit questioning the monster predator. In a ruthless attempt to cover up the long-running, deeply child-abusive, and documented "chain fraud" rooted in the predatory practices of the ~1,500 Dr. Deutsch and associates' GAL cases, the Cambridge Family Court simply denied the now \$165,000+ in arrears child supports/expenses from the silent, but provenly struggling mothers. The only judge that protected the child supports for the poor mother was the sole male judge.

Sen. Warren, please help the deeply victimized Mass. mothers and children, and if your "activism," or partisan hatred for "white toxic masculinity" doesn't allow that, **then please resign as our US Senator**.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an Affidavit on September 7, 2020.

Imre Kifor 32 Hickory Cliff Rd. Newton, MA 02464 <u>ikifor@gmail.com</u> I have no phone I have no valid driver's license I have to move to a homeless shelter <u>https://femfas.net</u>

June 2, 2024

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) Intake Unit JFK Federal Building 15 New Sudbury Street, Room 475 Boston, MA 02203 EEOC-Boston-Intake@EEOC.GOV Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination (MCAD) Attn: Intake Supervisor One Ashburton Place, Suite 601 Boston, MA 02108 via <u>mayrose.gravalec-pannone@mass.gov</u> also via <u>mcad@mass.gov</u>

SUBMITTED RE: EEOC Inquiry No. 523-2024-02212

Federally Funded "LGBTQ+" Discrimination Scheme Induces Fatherlessness In Our Children

Dear EEOC (and MCAD),

Responding to the EEOC "Information Request" I received on 4/29/2024, I would like to reiterate that I have never been a government employee. Therefore, I have never claimed direct Title VII employment discrimination. As reflected in my attached submissions to the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ("SJC"), namely motions for leave and relief from orders, a "Notice Of Appeal Raising Constitutional Questions," and the three meticulously substantiating affidavits with extensive evidence, my claims in Massachusetts are as follows (see my "Complaint For Discrimination" sent to MCAD on 5/6/2024):

"In my docketed complaint and its supporting affidavit, I substantiated my claims that I had been a target of disparate treatment, specifically G.L.c. 272, § 98, 'main' discriminations (based on sex, gender, and national origin) and subsequent G.L.c. 151B, § 9, unlawful practices, i.e., G.L.c. 151B, § 4 (4) retaliation, (4A) interference, and (5) aiding and abetting employment discrimination."

My federal claims are substantiated in my also attached (text only, but all evidence already diligently filed with the U.S. Court Of Appeals For The First Circuit, No. 24-1075) "Affidavit On First Justice Cafazzo's Conspiracy To Violate Title VI/VII Rights And Commit Civil RICO Prohibited Activities."

"The significance of the 12/5/2013 'gatekeeper' order, and especially its secrecy, is that it kept the committed statutory discriminations based on sex and national origin concealed and effectively protected from any [federal CSE reimbursement] reviews. Moreover, it also allowed the Family Court to **sanitize the dockets**, as none of Father's 'merely speculative' filings were to be docketed."

Responding to the SJC's 5/31/2024 confirmation and order, I substantiated that the committed **federal mail fraud** and the **deliberate falsifying of Family Court dockets** are ongoing. Considering that my pre-trial hearing in my MA DOR monitored case has been scheduled for 6/25/2024, the Family Court's absolute and total, deliberate and direct, manifested disregard for any state or federal constitutional due process and equal protection rights constitutes my, therefore, renewed claims for violations of Title VI.

As per federal Child Support Enforcement ("CSE") rules, I have the right to request modification should there be any material changes in my circumstances. Using my meticulously collected evidence, I started to request modification in my parallel dockets in 2018. At the time, my in-arrears obligations were \$0.

As the result of the Family Court's now fully substantiated "conspiracy to silence and enslave" through intentional discrimination and the subsequent retaliations, interferences, and aiding and abetting, my combined in-arrears obligations are now at **\$390,000+**. Proof of the paralyzingly effective and deliberate crusade by Family Court (and the Commonwealth) in aiding and abetting employment discrimination is the attached (partial) email record of my now submitted **2,050+ compliant job applications** since 2019.

In the attached open letter to President Biden, titled "Meticulous evidence for the deliberate Marxist subversion of our 'innocent until proven guilty' cardinal principle into a Stalinist 'guilty until proven innocent' discriminating tyranny," I summarized the already presented (and implied) facts substantiating my allegations that the Family Court's litany of sustained and systemic clear & trivial abuses of judicial discretion, i.e., "plain error, discretion exercised to an end not justified by the evidence, a judgment that is clearly against the logic and effect of the facts as are found," have **one all-embracing objective: to obstruct justice by all means** from having to modify the child support orders in the CSE monitored case retroactively, almost six years after my complaints for modifications were filed on 11/5/2018."

As MCAD has (apparently) already docketed my G.L.c 151 B-based complaint for discrimination, I would like to amend my EEOC Inquiry to reflect the facts that have transpired from the courts since I filed my inquiry initially on 4/2/2024. I now have an evidentiary basis to state that the **Marxist "equity for all"** directive of the 2/16/2023 Executive Order is the federal reflection of the deliberate and ongoing subversion of our "innocent until proven guilty" cardinal principle into a Stalinist "guilty until proven innocent" discriminating tyranny that was started in, for example, the Massachusetts family courts.

In the attached (clearly TBD) "Class Action Complaint For Equitable Relief From Discrimination --Violations of Title VI/VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d/e, *et seq.*), i.e., discrimination based on national origin (Title VI) and retaliation based on sex (Title VII)," I outlined the essentials of my now crystalized EEOC Inquiry. I swear that the contents of this complaint are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor, Pro Se

Enclosure

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u>

Imre Kifor 32 Hickory Cliff Rd. Newton, MA 02464 <u>ikifor@gmail.com</u> I have no phone I have no valid driver's license I have to move to a homeless shelter <u>https://femfas.net</u>

July 1, 2024

Senator Elizabeth Warren 309 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510 Elizabeth_Warren@warren.senate.gov Senator Dick Durbin, Chairman U.S. Senate, Committee On The Judiciary 224 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Congressman Jim Jordan, Chairman House of Representatives, Committee On The Judiciary 2138 Rayburn House Building Washington, DC 20515 Judiciary_Whistleblower@mail.house.gov

Evidence That Child-Predatory "Feminism" Leads To Fatherlessness And "LGBTQ+" Tyranny

Dear Senators Warren and Durbin, Dear Congressman Jordan,

Summarizing my now 500+ open letters respectfully sent to top government officials since early 2018 (including the attached letter/affidavit mailed to The White House on 6/2/2024), I have claimed that the Marxist-inspired "equity-based" justice, specifically, the deeply child-predatory "feminism" shamelessly practiced in our "LGBTQ+" Commonwealth of Massachusetts, is merely a means, a convenient "legal" tool, to forcefully inject the desired ambiguity and inconsistency into our otherwise precise rule of law.

With my rigorously substantiated federal Civil RICO class action lawsuits, I also diligently revealed a sinister motivation for our state to engage in the systemic and sustained deliberate abuse and torture of our most vulnerable and yet innocent children: to ruthlessly "maximize" the federal reimbursements that our state extorts from "enforcing" the purposely fabricated and fraudulently ordered child supports. This engineered racketeering is achieved with the **most cruel "gender-based" discrimination** imaginable.

By mandating a "sacred" status to all people capable, in name or nature, of bearing children, our state intentionally establishes a "Jim Crow"-like forced segregation of its people into the "double protected with feminist and/or LGBTQ+ equity" and the "unprotected with no such equity at all" disjoint camps.

Specifically, the unprotected "leftovers" are the people who cannot ever bear children yet still dream of having children as normal and healthy humans. The precisely engineered yet incompetently flawed (both logically and legally on the most fundamental levels) predatory social agenda deliberately targets (with

the now substantiated systemic, sustained, and statutory disparate treatments) the entire class of people who are the categorically non-LGBTQ+ (i.e., "simple") fathers of our dear Massachusetts children.

Virtually all recent tyrannies were based on some form of Marxist-inspired ideologies. The explanation for the ambiguity and inconsistency-driven engineered oppression is simple, "It's on purpose! The laws are unclear for a reason. Because everybody is a criminal. So anybody can be arrested at any moment ... They've always violated something because the laws are badly written, and they seem to be written that way on purpose" (see <u>The Gulag: What We Know Now and Why It Matters</u> at 1:19:11 to 1:21:10).

As documented in my now reactivated eight Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ("SJC") dockets, the laws don't need to be "badly written." While hiding behind superficial sovereign immunity protections, our "LGBTQ+" state (i.e., driven by the logically flawed, discriminatory, and unconstitutional agenda) can continue to blatantly violate even those anti-discrimination laws that expressly abrogate a state's immunity if any appellate reviews of unlawful decisions, mail fraud, and falsified dockets are prevented.

It was the SJC itself that confirmed on 5/31/2024 that my attempts to directly appeal the sustained and systemically unlawful acts (that had purposely defrauded the entire state and federal court system) were never docketed by the state, specifically the Middlesex Probate And Family Court ("Family Court").

I refuted the deceptions and substantiated to the SJC that prohibited RICO activities (mail & wire fraud, obstruction of justice, and retaliations) were continually committed by the state itself (i.e., assisted by a repeatedly colluding, in her official capacity, then-Attorney General and now Governor Healey) only to deliberately sabotage my now 13+ years-long desperate efforts to protect my children from the ruthless "LGBTQ+" state intentionally abusing them into **utter "fatherlessness**" by <u>extreme parental alienation</u>.

The predatory "feminist" agenda-driven ambiguity and inconsistency had been introduced to the matters early on. As a legal immigrant raised in communism (where struggling mothers and fathers were always equal with no trace of "patriarchy"), I agreed to divorce my wife and care for my traumatized (but nicely recovering) twins alone. The amicable divorce in 2008 was administered fairly and according to the law.

Sensing a tremendous opportunity to profiteer from the state's **"masculinity is toxic" lucrative agenda**, the activist Family Court and its "trusted" attorneys proceeded to recast my divorce into an infantile (in QAnon-style) and maliciously false "immigrant father bullied American mother into abandoning her 3.5 yo twins during their divorce." The Family Court then forcefully separated the now 7 yo children from their father (causing diagnosed PTSD/sleepwalking in the twins) only because the political immigrant, a hated Romanian minority, "might flee the country" to go back to Romania as "he had a U.S. passport."

To conceal the statutory discriminations and the subsequent retaliations viciously committed against a political immigrant, the Family Court allowed, encouraged, and even solicited that a prior "imbalance of power" be fabricated retroactively into the matters. The binary choices given to the court by the activist Harvard psychology professor and "superstar" Guardian *ad* Litem (GAL) were straightforward: "mother either lacks affect or was bullied into abandoning her twins." The officially "feminist" consequences of the Family Court not immediately and forcefully excluding even a remote possibility that a millionaire selfish mother had been "incapable of loving her non-biological children" would have been far-reaching.

Supporting the maliciously fabricated QAnon-style narrative (e.g., the mother suddenly claiming that her son "was afraid that dad will put him in a pot of boiling water") and that the father had been an "unstable and angry man" and a "fleeing the country" immigrant after all, genuine Marxist equity-based ambiguity and inconsistency had to be injected into the matters by forcefully overwriting the cornered mother's already repeatedly declared net worth while creating a needed feminist "imbalance of power."

Given the fine-tuned instincts of a "survivor of Marxism" and the carefully orchestrated simple and fair divorce's already established context, an "imbalance of power" could only be fabricated into the matters by recklessly falsifying the financials (i.e., lying about mere numbers). The agenda-driven and activist Family Court deliberately encouraged and solicited just that: after a well-preserved sequence of allowed material perjuries, a meticulously documented cluster of an effectively **4 million dollar subornation of perjuries** was directly committed with total impunity by "feminist" attorneys on the victimized mother.

Understanding that all Marxist "social engineering" schemes share the same fundamental objective (i.e., to steal other people's money) and that all of them ultimately collapse when the money runs out, I never resisted the probing attacks. Instead, I overachieved every demand thrown at me by the "professionals."

Nevertheless, the simple "mere numbers" perjuries persisted. The financial inconsistencies (that always follow all fabricated Marxist ambiguities) are now paralyzing both our state and federal courts. On 6/25/2024, the Family Court still could not decide the 6-year-old child support modification case that receives federal reimbursements. Consequently, my in-arrears child support obligations have reached **\$395,000+**.

Reiterating that all Marxist schemes eventually collapse when time or money runs out, I point out that the unconstitutional (i.e., I now have evidence that my due process and equal protection rights have been deliberately violated) and logically flawed "LGBTQ+" scheme is a predatory tyranny to discriminate against all the loving but "simple" fathers who can be used instead to maximize federal reimbursements for the states (through blatantly tolerated and clear abuses of judicial discretions, deliberately falsified dockets, mail fraud, and, therefore, systemic and sustained Title VI/VII discriminations and retaliations).

The conclusion is even more troubling: my meticulously collected record is evidence that **systemic and chronic fatherlessness** is the true objective of the child-predatory "feminism" and "LGBTQ+" activism. Understanding that diversity, equity, and inclusion provenly lead to more overall resilience in virtually everything, a profiteering "LGBTQ+" state forcefully driving its innocent children into fatherlessness for mandated "maximized" federal reimbursements is another manifestation of a child-predatory intent.

Respectfully, /s/ Imre Kifor¹, Pro Se

Cc: Katherine B. Dirks, Esq., Assistant Attorney General, via <u>katherine.dirks@mass.gov</u> Michael Xavier, Esq. (for), via <u>mxavier@princelobel.com</u>

USAMA.CivilRights@usdoj.gov

¹ Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury as an affidavit (in support of my current and future state and federal court cases).

Referenced Links:

- 1. "The Gulag: What We Know Now and Why It Matters" at <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?</u> <u>v=37C9hofR6gg</u>.
- 2. "Parental Alienation Can Be Emotional Child Abuse" at <u>https://www.ncsc.org/__data/assets/pdf_file/</u> 0014/42152/parental_alienation_Lewis.pdf.

From: DOJ Civil Rights - Do Not Reply civilrightsdonotreply@mail.civilrights.usdoj.gov

Subject: Response: Your Civil Rights Division Report - 498539-XBF from the Employment Litigation Section

Date: October 21, 2024 at 12:15 PM

To: ikifor@gmail.com



civilrights.justice.gov

Dear Imre Kifor,

You contacted the Department of Justice on August 26, 2024. After careful review of what you submitted, we have determined that your report would more appropriately be handled by another federal agency.

What we did:

Your record number is 498539-XBF.

Team members from the Civil Rights Division reviewed the information you submitted. Based on your report, our team determined that you alleged employment discrimination or other employment-related issues.

Federal law limits the Department of Justice's ability to take direct action under certain situations. Based on our team's review of your report, this includes your matter.

What you can do:

We are not determining that your report lacks merit. Rather, another federal agency may be able to help in your situation.

We have included a list of federal agencies that may be able to help. You should reach out to the appropriate agency if you want to pursue this further.

NOTE: There are strict time limits for filing complaints related to employment discrimination. If you feel you have been discriminated against in employment, you should contact the appropriate agency as soon as possible.

I EXPERIENCED...

Employment discrimination based on: race, color, national origin, religion, sex (including pregnancy, sexual orientation and gender identity), age, disability, or retaliation.

AGENCY THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

File online: eeoc.gov/filing-charge-discrimination Contact by phone: 1-800-669-4000 File in-person at your nearest EEOC Office: www.eeoc.gov/field-office

I EXPERIENCED...

Employment discrimination based on military service, including retaliation and failure to reemploy.

AGENCY THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

U.S. Department of Labor Veterans Employment Training Service (VETS)

File online or contact VETS in-person: www.dol.gov/agencies/vets/ Contact by phone: 1-866-487-2365

I EXPERIENCED...

Employment discrimination by the federal government

AGENCY THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

The equal employment opportunity officer at your federal agency

Find your federal EEO officer: www.eeoc.gov/federal-sector/federal-agency-eeo-directors

I EXPERIENCED...

A workers' compensation issue

AGENCY THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

U.S. Department of Labor Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP)

Phone and in-person options: https://www.dol.gov/owcp/owcpkeyp.htm

I EXPERIENCED...

An issue with wages and/or work hours

AGENCY THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

U.S. Department of Labor

Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division

How to file a complaint: www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/contact/complaints Contact by phone: 1-866-487-2365

I EXPERIENCED...

An issue with worker safety

AGENCY THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

U.S. Department of Labor Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA)

File online: www.osha.gov/workers/ Contact by phone: 1-800-321-6742

I EXPERIENCED...

A problem with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

AGENCY THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Director, Office of Field Management Programs

131 M Street, NE Washington, DC 20507

In addition, your state bar association or local legal aid office may be able to help with your issue even though the Department of Justice cannot.

TO FIND...

A personal attorney

ORGANIZATION THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

American Bar Association

www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_services/flh-home Contact by phone: 1-800-285-2221

TO FIND...

A personal attorney for low-income individuals

ORGANIZATION THAT MAY BE ABLE TO HELP

Legal Services Corporation (or Legal Aid Offices) To help you find a legal aid lawyer in your area

www.lsc.gov/find-legal-aid

How you helped:

While we cannot act in this specific instance, your report will help us advance civil rights. Information from reports such as yours helps us understand emerging and urgent issues. This helps inform how we protect the civil rights of all people in this country.

Thank you for taking the time to contact the Department of Justice about your concerns.

Sincerely,

U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division

Contact

civilrights.justice.gov

U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

 \sim



(202) 514-3847 1-855-856-1247 (tollfree) Telephone Device for the Deaf (TTY) (202) 514-0716



Print 🛛 🔀 Close

Dem governor threatens to use 'every tool' to fight back against Trump-era deportations

By Adam Shaw

Published November 08, 2024

Fox News

Massachusetts Democratic Gov. Maura Healey says that her state police will "absolutely not" be cooperating with the expected mass deportation effort by the incoming Trump administration, warning that she will use "every tool in the toolbox" to "protect" residents in the blue state.

Healey was asked on MSNBC on Wednesday whether the Massachusetts State Police would assist the federal government in the mass deportation of illegal immigrants. President-elect Trump has pledged to launch the "largest domestic deportation operation in American history" to deport millions of illegal immigrants.

"Following the Eisenhower Model, we will carry out the largest domestic deportation operation in American history," Trump said during the campaign.

'NOW'S THE TIME': TRUMP VICTORY HAS BIDEN ADMIN BRACING FOR MIGRANT SURGE AT SOUTHERN BORDER



https://www.foxnews.com/politics/dem-governor-threatens-use-every-tool-fight-back-against-trump-era-deportations.print the state of t



Former President Trump raises his fist as he arrives to speak at a campaign event at Nassau Coliseum in Uniondale, New York, on Sept. 18. (AP Photo/Alex Brandon)

However, Healey made her position clear.

"No. Absolutely not," she said when asked if state police would help the administration.

"I do think it is important that we all recognize that there is going to be a lot of pressure on states and state officials. I can assure you we're going to work hard to deliver," she said.

Healey launched a number of lawsuits against the last Trump administration as attorney general of the state and indicated a lot of resistance could be coming in 2025, including litigation and the use of regulation and executive authority.

"Some realities need to be noted and that is in 2016, we had a different situation in the courts, and I am sure there may be litigation ahead, there is a lot of other ways people are going to act and need to act for the sake of their states and residents," Healey said. "There's regulatory authority and executive powers and the like, there's legislation also within our state.

LIBERATION DAY': WHAT TO EXPECT FROM PRESIDENT-ELECT TRUMP ON BORDER SECURITY, IMMIGRATION



Massachusetts Gov. Maura Healey says her state opposes President-elect Trump's deportation effort. (Adam Glanzman/Bloomberg via Getty Images)

"So I think the key here is that you know, even teel in the teel hav here get to be used to protect our citizens, to protect our

residents and protect our states and to hold the line on democracy and the rule of law as a basic principle."

Massachusetts has been one of a number of states that have been overwhelmed by the migrant surge coming from the southern border.

Last year, Healey declared a state of emergency in the state due to the surge and called for federal action. She also acknowledged that the state's policies may be a draw for migrants.

CLICK HERE FOR MORE COVERAGE OF THE BORDER SECURITY CRISIS

"Many of these families are migrants to Massachusetts, drawn here because we are and proudly have been a beacon to those in need," she wrote in a letter to Department of Homeland Security Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas.

CLICK HERE TO GET THE FOX NEWS APP

She also blamed "a confusing tangle of immigration laws, an inability for migrants to obtain work authorization from the federal government, an increase in the number of people coming to Massachusetts, and the lack of an affordable housing supply in our state."

Healey called for Mayorkas to press Congress and use executive action to remove barriers to work permits for migrants, "address our outdated and punitive immigration laws" and to provide additional financial assistance to the state.

Her state has also prioritized work authorizations, job training, English classes and rehousing assistance for migrants. It said earlier this year that it has helped 3,785 migrants apply for work authorization, and enrolled more than 1,100 in English classes.

Adam Shaw is a politics reporter for Fox News Digital, primarily covering immigration and border security.

He can be reached at adam.shaw2@fox.com or on Twitter.

🛄 Print	🔀 Close
---------	---------

URL

https://www.foxnews.com/politics/dem-governor-threatens-use-every-tool-fight-back-against-trump-era-deportations and the second secon

Home | Video | Politics | U.S. | Opinion | Entertainment | Tech | Science | Health | Travel | Lifestyle | World | Sports | Weather

Privacy | Terms

This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redistributed. © FOX News Network, LLC. All rights reserved. Quotes displayed in real-time or delayed by at least 15 minutes. Market data provided by Factset. Powered and implemented by FactSet Digital Solutions. Legal Statement. Mutual Fund and ETF data provided by Refinitiv Lipper.Do Not Sell my Personal Information - New Terms of Use - FAQ